1	DODDING CELLED DAYER CON		
2	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP		
3	JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900		
4	San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058		
5	619/231-7423 (fax) jamesj@rgrdlaw.com		
6	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP	
7	MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009) TYSON REDENBARGER (294424)	JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605) JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933)	
8	ELLE LEWIS (238329) San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200	600 West Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101	
9	Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: 650/697-6000	Telephone: 619/233-4565 619/233-0508 (fax) jjasnoch@scott-scott.com	
10	650/697-0577 (fax) mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com	jpettigrew@scott-scott.com	
11	tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com elewis@cpmlegal.com		
12	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs		
13	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO		
16	In re MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL	Lead Case No. 18CIV01549	
17	PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION) CLASS ACTION	
18)	
19	This Document Relates To:) STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT)	
20	ALL ACTIONS.	Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2	
21		Date Action Filed: 03/28/18	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

5

6

This Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation") in the action captioned In re Micro Focus International plc Securities Litigation, Lead Case No. 18CIV01549 (the "Action"), pending before the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo (the "Court"), is entered into by and between Plaintiffs James Ragsdale, Cardella Family Irrevoc Trust U/A 06/17/15, Ian Green, James Gildea and Marilyn Clark ("Plaintiffs") and Iron Workers Local No. 25 Pension Fund ("Iron Workers" or "Federal Plaintiff"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class (as defined below), and Defendants Micro Focus International plc ("Micro Focus" or "Company"), Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company ("HPE"), Stephen Murdoch, Mike Phillips, Kevin Loosemore, Nils Brauckmann, Karen Slatford, Richard Atkins, Amanda Brown, Silke Scheiber, Darren Roos, Christopher Hsu, John Schultz, and Giselle Manon ("Individual Defendants," and collectively with Micro Focus and HPE, "Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel. The Stipulation is intended by Plaintiffs, Iron Workers and Defendants (collectively, the "Parties") to fully, finally, and forever resolve, discharge, release and settle the Released Claims, as defined below, upon and subject to the terms and conditions hereof, and is submitted pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §382 and California Rule of Court 3.769 for approval of this Court.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On March 28, 2018, the first of several related class actions was filed in this Court by purchasers and acquirers of Micro Focus American Depositary Shares ("ADSs") or American Depositary Receipts ("ADRs"), including by certain Plaintiffs. Generally, the actions alleged that Defendants had violated Sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") by selling, or offering to sell, Micro Focus ADSs and ADRs pursuant to Registration Statements on Forms F-4 and F-6 and Prospectus, which were issued in connection with the merger of Micro Focus and the software business segment of HPE ("Merger") and which allegedly contained materially false or misleading statements and/or allegedly omitted to disclose material information required to be disclosed therein. Such allegations and claims were, and continue to be, denied by Defendants.

On May 1, 2018, the actions pending in California court were consolidated and assigned to Judge Marie S. Weiner. On May 23, 2018, a different plaintiff filed a substantially similar putative class action in California federal court, also alleging violations of the Securities Act relating to the

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27 28 Merger. On May 30, 2018 another plaintiff filed a putative class action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Federal Court"), alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and the Securities Act, based on similar alleged underlying conduct as those actions filed in California. The federal action filed in California was later transferred to the Federal Court in New York and consolidated with the case previously pending there. The consolidated Southern District of New York case is referred to herein as the "Federal Action," and, by order dated September 11, 2018, Iron Workers was appointed to serve as lead plaintiff for the Federal Action.

On June 14, 2018, Plaintiffs served form interrogatories and requests for documents and admissions in this Action, to which Micro Focus served written responses and objections on July 19, 2018. On June 15, 2018, Plaintiffs filed a consolidated class action complaint in this Action which combined the allegations of the various cases that had been consolidated. Meanwhile, on November 9, 2018, the Federal Plaintiff filed an amended complaint, alleging that Defendants violated Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. Those allegations and claims too were, and continue to be, denied by Defendants.

On December 3, 2018, in response to a request by Defendants Micro Focus, Manon, Schultz, Hsu, and HPE to stay or dismiss this Action in favor of the Federal Action, the Court granted a discretionary stay of this Action. On January 22, 2019, Defendants filed their motions to dismiss the amended complaint in the Federal Action. On September 9, 2019, after briefing, the Federal Court entered an order allowing the Federal Plaintiff to file a second amended complaint and denying as moot the motions to dismiss, and the Federal Plaintiff filed its second amended complaint on September 30, 2019. Briefing on the motion to dismiss that complaint concluded on January 17, 2020.

On July 27, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to lift the stay of this Action. The Court later ordered supplemental briefing on the issue and subsequently denied a further stay by order served on January 27, 2021. On February 11, 2021, Defendants sought review of that decision from California Appellate and Supreme courts, which was unsuccessful.

In turn, on September 29, 2020, as proceedings relating to the Action continued, the Federal Court granted dismissal of the Federal Action on the basis that the second amended complaint failed adequately to allege that Defendants had made materially false statements or misleading omissions. On October 27, 2020, the Federal Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal, seeking review by the Second Circuit Court of Appeals ("Second Circuit") of the dismissal, and, on February 4, 2021, the Federal Plaintiff filed its opening appeal brief.

While that appeal was pending, the parties to the Federal Action decided to pursue mediation with JAMS, a dispute resolution firm, and, on March 17, 2021, they participated in a full-day mediation session without Plaintiffs' participation. The mediation resulted in an agreement in principle between the parties to the Federal Action to resolve the claims asserted in the Federal Action, which, if finally approved by the Federal Court on a class-wide basis, would have also resulted in releasing the claims asserted in this Action for those members of the class who did not successfully exclude themselves. In April 2021, in furtherance of its agreement to resolve the Federal Action, Federal Plaintiff agreed to dismiss its appeal without prejudice to later reinstatement upon notice, which subsequently occurred in August 2021.

On April 20, 2021, the Court in this Action sustained demurrers to Plaintiffs' complaint as to certain defendants with leave to amend and overruled demurrers as to other defendants. On May 25, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their first amended consolidated complaint. Also on May 25, 2021, Plaintiffs requested the Court to certify a class under the Securities Act, which the parties to the Action subsequently briefed and argued.

Meanwhile, on June 16, 2021, the parties to the Federal Action entered into a stipulation of settlement which set forth the final terms of their proposed resolution of the Federal Action. On June 17, 2021, the Federal Plaintiff filed a motion with the Federal Court for preliminary approval of that proposed resolution. On June 21, 2021, Plaintiffs in this Action submitted a letter to the Federal Court opposing preliminary approval of the proposed settlement of the Federal Action. On June 23 and 25, 2021, respectively, the Federal Plaintiff and Defendants submitted letters to the Federal Court in response to Plaintiffs' submission, and, on July 2, 2021, Plaintiffs requested permission to file a reply to the letters. On July 30, 2021, the Federal Court granted Plaintiffs permission to file their

reply and directed all of the parties to brief a jurisdictional issue raised in Plaintiffs' submissions regarding the proposed settlement of the Federal Action, relating to the jurisdiction of the Federal Court. As directed by the Federal Court, Plaintiffs, the Federal Plaintiff, and Defendants each filed briefs addressing that issue on August 13 and 27, 2021.

As proceedings were underway regarding the proposed settlement of the Federal Action, certain Defendants, including Micro Focus, demurred to the first amended consolidated complaint in this Action. On September 21, 2021, the Court sustained the demurrer as to Manon without leave to amend and sustained the demurrer with leave to amend as to HPE, Hsu, and Schultz. On September 30, 2021, Plaintiffs filed a second amended consolidated complaint, which became the operative complaint in this Action. Thereafter, Micro Focus filed an Answer and Plaintiffs dismissed their claims without prejudice against the other defendants (including HPE), leaving as defendants in this Action Micro Focus and the Individual Defendants other than Hsu, Schultz, and Manon.

On October 15, 2021, Defendants requested the Federal Court to provide an indicative ruling, which the Federal Plaintiff joined on October 18, 2021, on how the Federal Court might decide the motion for preliminary approval of the proposed settlement of the Federal Action if the Second Circuit remanded the case. On October 22, 2021, the Federal Court issued an order denying that request and indicating that it would issue a ruling on the prior submissions made in connection with the preliminary approval motion. On November 4, 2021, the Federal Court ruled that the preliminary approval motion raised an issue concerning jurisdiction that required further consideration. On November 16, 2021, the Second Circuit granted a request for a limited remand and directed the Federal Plaintiff and Defendants to provide an update on the status of the Federal Court proceedings every thirty days thereafter.

Meanwhile, on November 19, 2021, after briefing and argument, the Court in this Action granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, appointed certain Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, designated their counsel as Class Counsel, and issued an order certifying the class. Thereafter, Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions ("Epiq"), as the class notice administrator, engaged in efforts to disseminate notice to putative members of the class under Class Counsel's supervision and at Class Counsel's expense.

On November 22, 2021, Plaintiffs informed the Federal Court that the California Court had issued an order granting their request to certify the Securities Act class then involved in this Action. On November 24, 2021, the Federal Plaintiff submitted a letter to the Federal Court in response to that update.

On December 3, 2021, Plaintiffs served their fourth and final set of document requests, to which Defendants served written responses and objections on January 14, 2022. Thereafter, the parties to this Action engaged in a series of meet and confers, by email and telephone, designed to narrow the document requests, address Defendants' objections and concerns, and facilitate the identification and production of relevant information. These communications, which took place through April 2022, ultimately resulted in Defendants' agreement to collect information from 36 custodians over at least a two-year period, conduct expanded searches of four custodians' files and for board materials, use numerous search terms tailored to Plaintiffs' combined document requests, and substantially complete production by a date certain.

On February 22, 2022, the Federal Court issued an order denying preliminary approval of the proposed settlement of the Federal Action on a without prejudice basis and directed the Federal Plaintiff to confirm how it intended to proceed. On March 1, 2022, the Federal Plaintiff informed the Federal Court that it intended to file a motion to vacate the dismissal of the Federal Action with the intention of seeking preliminary approval of the proposed settlement of the Federal Action if vacatur were granted.

On April 13, 2022, the Federal Plaintiff filed a motion to vacate the Federal Court's dismissal of the Federal Action. On May 4, 2022, Plaintiffs in this Action filed papers in opposition to the motion to vacate, including declarations from two proposed experts on procedural and damages issues, respectively, as well as a motion, to the extent necessary, for leave to appear as *amici curiae* in opposing the vacatur motion. On May 4, 2022, Defendants filed a limited non-opposition to the motion to vacate. On May 11, 2022, the Federal Plaintiff filed a reply in further support of the motion to vacate, and Plaintiffs filed a reply in further support of their motion for leave to appear as *amici* in that proceeding.

1

2

3

4

5

6

On July 1, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a letter update with the Federal Court on the status of notice to the class certified in the Action. On July 8, 2022, the Federal Plaintiff submitted a response to that update.

On July 12, 2022, Plaintiffs served a second set of form interrogatories, to which Defendants served written responses and objections on August 11, 2022. On July 21, 2022, Plaintiffs served their first set of special interrogatories, seeking information on Micro Focus and HPE customers, business, and personnel, to which Defendants served written responses and objections on August 22, 2022. On August 2, 2022, Defendants served their first set of special interrogatories, to which Plaintiffs served written responses and objections on September 1, 2022.

On August 24, 2022, Plaintiffs and Defendants participated in a full-day mediation session in California before Layn R. Phillips, a retired federal judge who founded and operates the dispute resolution firm known as Phillips ADR. In advance of the mediation, Plaintiffs and Defendants exchanged confidential mediation statements on August 12, 2022, as well as extensive documentary exhibits, including many documents which were produced on a confidential basis in discovery. Despite efforts to resolve the Action, the mediation was unsuccessful.

During this time, and thereafter, Plaintiffs and Defendants engaged in a series of meet and confers regarding Plaintiffs' first set of special interrogatories. With the Court's assistance and further communications between Plaintiffs and Defendants, they ultimately resolved the dispute and Defendants provided additional information about various materials provided in response to Plaintiffs' special interrogatories.

Periodically, during discovery, Plaintiffs and Defendants conferred and Defendants agreed to make supplemental productions, re-produce certain documents, and address concerns that Plaintiffs raised regarding Defendants' privilege and redaction log. During this time, Plaintiffs also conducted third-party discovery. In total, they subpoenaed nearly 20 non-parties, ranging from current and former customers of Micro Focus and HPE to advisors involved in the Merger and former employees of Micro Focus and HPE. To facilitate the eventual production of thousands of pages of documents from these non-parties, Plaintiffs' counsel held numerous meet and confers with these non-parties and their counsel and prepared written communications, where appropriate. When the proposed

Settlement (defined below) was reached, several non-parties were in the process of completing searches for additional responsive materials and contemplated completing their productions promptly.

From October 4, 2022 until December 13, 2022, Plaintiffs deposed 21 witnesses, including current and former employees of Micro Focus and HPE and an advisor involved in the Merger. These depositions each ranged from several hours to two days and collectively involved hundreds of exhibits. While Plaintiffs conducted most depositions remotely, some took place in person in California and Nevada. When the Settlement was reached, one deposition of a former Micro Focus employee remained outstanding and was scheduled to proceed in January 2023.

On December 2, 2022, a second full-day mediation took place before Judge Phillips between Plaintiffs and Defendants. Plaintiffs and Defendants exchanged supplemental confidential mediation statements, along with documentary exhibits and excerpts of deposition testimony developed in discovery, in advance of the mediation. Despite efforts to broker a resolution of the Action during this extended mediation session, they were unable to reach an agreement. However, they acknowledged that they were close to reaching an agreement and agreed to a limited stay of the Action while they continued working with the mediator and the Federal Plaintiff to attempt to reach a global resolution of both this Action and the Federal Action. In culmination of these efforts, Judge Phillips issued a triple blind, time-limited settlement proposal to the parties to the Action and the Federal Action on December 15, 2022, which all sides ultimately accepted.

On December 20, 2022, the Parties in both this Action and the Federal Action, as well as Judge Phillips, participated in a conference with the Federal Court in which they advised that they had negotiated a global resolution of the Action and Federal Action and requested the Federal Court to stay the Federal Action pending the outcome of a request to this Court to approve the Settlement. The Federal Plaintiff also advised that it would withdraw its motion to vacate, and voluntarily dismiss its appeal to the Second Circuit, both with prejudice, if this Court approved the proposed Settlement, thereby fully and finally concluding the Federal Action.

Subsequently, the Parties negotiated the terms of this Stipulation and executed the Stipulation as of the date hereof.

II. PLAINTIFFS' INVESTIGATION AND THE BENEFITS OF SETTLEMENT

Lead Counsel conducted an extensive investigation of the claims and the underlying events and transactions alleged in this Action. Among other things, Lead Counsel interviewed witnesses, analyzed public filings, records, documents, and other materials concerning Defendants and third parties, reviewed and analyzed millions of pages of documents provided by Defendants and third parties, conducted 21 fact depositions and interfaced with retained experts regarding the subject matter of the Action, evaluated the value of the claims asserted and meaningfully assessed the likelihood of success in further proceedings and at trial, and researched the applicable law with respect to the claims of Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class against Defendants and the potential defenses thereto. Similarly, Iron Workers and its counsel have extensively investigated and evaluated the claims asserted in the Federal Action, which are substantially similar to those asserted in this Action.

Based on their investigation and review, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel have concluded that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class and in their best interests, and have agreed to settle the claims raised in the Action pursuant to the terms and provisions of this Stipulation, after considering: (a) the substantial benefits that Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class will receive from settlement of the Action; (b) the risks, costs, and uncertainties of ongoing litigation; (c) the desirability of permitting the Settlement to be consummated as provided by the terms of this Stipulation; and (d) Plaintiffs' counsel's experience in the prosecution of similar actions. Iron Workers and its counsel have likewise concluded that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class and in their best interests, and have agreed to settle the claims raised in the Federal Action pursuant to the terms and provisions of this Stipulation.

The Parties to this Stipulation and their counsel agree not to contend in any forum that the Action was brought or defended in bad faith, without a reasonable basis, or in violation of California Code of Civil Procedure § 128.7 or Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 or any other similar law or statute. The Action is being voluntarily settled after advice of counsel and after Plaintiffs' counsel have determined and believe that the terms of the Settlement are fair, adequate and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Iron Workers and its counsel also agree that the terms of the Settlement are fair,

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

adequate and reasonable to the Settlement Class, and all Parties also agree not to contend in any forum that the Federal Action was brought or defended in bad faith, without a reasonable basis, or in violation of applicable law.

III. DEFENDANTS' DENIALS OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY

Defendants have denied and continue to deny that they have committed any wrongdoing or any act or omission giving rise to any liability and/or violation of law, and/or any compensable loss, in any jurisdiction. Neither the Settlement nor any of its terms shall constitute an admission or finding of any wrongful conduct, acts or omissions, or of any misstatements or omissions actionable under the laws of the United States or any other country. Defendants do not admit, and continue to deny, any and all liability or wrongdoing in connection with the allegations and claims set forth or asserted in the Action and the Federal Action, and/or any facts related thereto, including, but not limited to, the sale or acquisition of Micro Focus ADSs or ADRs pursuant to Registration Statements on Forms F-4 and F-6 and Prospectus issued in connection with the Merger. To date, there has been no finding of liability or wrongdoing, including in both the Action and Federal Action, as against any Defendant.

Defendants are entering into this Settlement to eliminate the burden and expense of further litigation. Defendants also have taken into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation, especially in complex cases like the Action and the Federal Action. Defendants have, therefore, determined that it is desirable and beneficial to them that the Action and Federal Action be fully and finally settled and resolved, in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation.

This Stipulation shall in no event be construed or deemed to be evidence of, or an admission or concession on the part of any Defendant with respect to, any claim or of any fault or liability or wrongdoing or damage whatsoever, or any infirmity in the defenses that Defendants have asserted in either this Action or the Federal Action.

TERMS OF THE STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT IV.

NOW THEREFORE, without any admission or concession on the part of Plaintiffs or Iron Workers of any lack of merit of the Action or Federal Action whatsoever, and without any admission or concession whatsoever by any of the Defendants as to the merit of the Action or Federal Action, As used in this Stipulation, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth in ¶¶ 1.1-1.41 below. All singular terms include the plural and all plural terms include the singular.

- 1.1 "Action" means *In re Micro Focus International plc Securities Litigation*, Lead Case No. 18CIV01549, pending in the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo, over which Judge Marie S. Weiner presides.
- 1.2 "Authorized Claimant" means a Settlement Class Member who timely submits a valid Proof of Claim form to the Claims Administrator.
- 1.3 "Claims Administrator" means Epiq, or such other entity as the Court shall appoint to administer the Settlement.
- 1.4 "Company" or "Micro Focus" means Micro Focus International plc and its predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, divisions or affiliates.
 - 1.5 "Court" means the California Superior Court for the County of San Mateo.
- 1.6 "Defendants" means Micro Focus International plc, Stephen Murdoch, Mike Phillips, Kevin Loosemore, Nils Brauckmann, Karen Slatford, Richard Atkins, Amanda Brown, Silke Scheiber, Darren Roos, Christopher Hsu, John Schultz, Giselle Manon and HPE.
- 1.7 "Defendants' Counsel" means the law firms of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, Mayer Brown LLP, Bergeson, LLP and Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP.
- 1.8 "Effective Date of Settlement" or "Effective Date" means the date upon which the Settlement contemplated by this Stipulation shall become effective, as set forth in ¶ 11.1 below.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1.9 "Escrow Account" means an interest-bearing escrow account established by the Escrow Agent to receive the Settlement Amount.
- 1.10 "Escrow Agent" means Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, and Scott+Scott Attorneys at Law LLP, or their respective successor(s).
- 1.11 "Federal Action" means *In re Micro Focus International plc Securities Litigation*, No. 1:18-CV-06763 (ALC) (S.D.N.Y.), pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, over which Judge Andrew L. Carter, Jr. presides.
 - 1.12 "Federal Court" means the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.
- 1.13 "Federal Plaintiff" or "Iron Workers" means Iron Workers Local No. 25 Pension Fund, which was appointed to serve as lead plaintiff in the Federal Action.
- 1.14 "Federal Plaintiff's Counsel" means the firms that have represented Iron Workers in the Federal Action, including Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP.
- 1.15 "Fee and Expense Award" means the amount of attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court as described in \P 6.1.
- 1.16 "Final" with respect to the Final Judgment or Alternative Judgment means: (i) if no appeal is filed, the expiration date of the time provided for filing or petitioning for any appeal, or (ii) if there is an appeal from the judgment, the date of (a) final dismissal of all such appeals, or the final dismissal of any proceeding on certiorari or otherwise to review the judgment, or (b) the date the judgment is finally affirmed on appeal, the expiration of the time to file a petition for writ of certiorari or other form of review, or the denial of a writ of certiorari or other form of review of the judgment, and, if certiorari or other form of review is granted, the date of final affirmance of the judgment following review pursuant to that grant. However, any appeal or proceeding seeking subsequent judicial review pertaining solely to an order issued with respect to (i) attorneys' fees, costs or expenses, or (ii) the plan of allocation (as submitted or subsequently modified) shall not in any way delay or preclude the judgment from becoming Final.
- 1.17 "Final Judgment" means the proposed judgment to be entered approving the Settlement, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B.

"Proof of Claim" means the Proof of Claim and Release, substantially in the form

27

28

1.27

attached hereto as Exhibit A-2 to Exhibit A.

1

1.28 "Related Parties" means each of a Settling Party's past, present or future direct or indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates or joint ventures, as well as each of their respective present or former directors, officers, employees, partners, members, principals, agents, underwriters, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, controlling shareholders, attorneys, accountants, auditors, financial or investment advisors or consultants, banks or investment bankers, personal or legal representatives, predecessors, successors, assigns, spouses, heirs, related or affiliated entities, any entity in which a Settling Party has a controlling interest, any member of a Settling Party's immediate family, any trust of which any Settling Party is the settlor or which is for the benefit of any Settling Party and/or member(s) of his family, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors in interest or assigns of the foregoing Persons.

1.29 "Released Claims" means any and all rights, liabilities, suits, debts, obligations, demands, damages, losses, judgment matters, issues, claims (including "Unknown Claims" as defined below), and causes of action of every nature and description whatsoever that have been or could have been asserted in the Action or the Federal Action or could in the future be asserted in any forum, whether known or unknown, whether foreign or domestic, whether arising under federal, state, common, or foreign law, by Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, any Settlement Class Member, or their Related Parties, whether individual, class, representative, on behalf of others, legal, equitable, regulatory, governmental, or of any other type or in any other capacity, whether brought directly or indirectly against any of the Defendants, that (i) arise out of, are based upon, or relate to in any way to any of the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, disclosures, statements, representations, or omissions which were or could have been alleged in the Action or the Federal Action, and (ii) arise out of, or are based upon, or relate to the purchase, acquisition, holding, sale, or disposition of ADSs or ADRs of Micro Focus between September 1, 2017 and August 28, 2019, inclusive. Notwithstanding the foregoing, "Released Claims" do not include any derivative or ERISA claims. "Released Claims" also do not include claims to enforce this Stipulation or claims by Defendants for or regarding insurance coverage.

1.30 "Released Defendants' Claims" means all claims, including "Unknown Claims" as defined below, that any Released Party may have against Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, Settlement Class

"Settling Party" means any Defendant, Plaintiff, Federal Plaintiff or Settlement Class

27

28

1.39

Member.

1.40 "Summary Notice" means the summary notice of proposed Settlement and hearing for publication, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A-3 to Exhibit A.

1.41 "Unknown Claims" means any and all claims and potential claims against Defendants that Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, or any Settlement Class Member does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor as of the Effective Date, and any claims against Plaintiffs or Federal Plaintiff that Defendants do not know or suspect to exist in their favor, which if known by him, her, or it might have affected his, her, or its decision(s) with respect to the Settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims, the Parties stipulate and agree that by operation of the Final Judgment, upon the Effective Date, the Parties shall have expressly waived, and each Settlement Class Member shall be deemed to have waived, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have expressly waived, the provisions, rights and benefits of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY:

and any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to California Civil Code § 1542. A Releasing Party may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she, or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but shall expressly fully, finally, and forever settle and release, and each Settlement Class Member, upon the Effective Date, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released, any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. The Parties acknowledge,

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the inclusion of "Unknown Claims" in the definition of Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims was separately bargained for and was a key element of the Settlement.

2. **Certification of Settlement Class**

2.1 Solely for the purposes of this Settlement and for no other purpose, the Parties stipulate to: (a) certification of the Settlement Class (as defined herein), pursuant to § 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure; and (b) designation of the current Class Representatives in this Action, Plaintiffs Ian Green and Cardella Family Irrevoc Trust U/A 06/17/15, as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class. Except as otherwise provided herein, in the event the Settlement is terminated in accordance herewith, is vacated, or the Effective Date fails to occur for any reason: (1) the scope of the certified class in this Action shall revert to the class definition set forth in the Court's November 19, 2021 Order Granting Class Certification; and (2) the scope of the proposed settlement class in the Federal Action shall revert to the proposed settlement class set forth in ¶¶ 1(ss) and 2 of Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of James Harrod, ECF No. 111-1 (Jun. 17, 2021).

Scope and Effect of Settlement 3.

- 3.1 The obligations incurred pursuant to this Stipulation shall be in full and final disposition of: (i) this Action and the Federal Action against Defendants; (ii) any and all Released Claims as against all Released Parties; and (iii) any and all Released Defendants' Claims.
- 3.2 (a) Upon the Effective Date of this Settlement, the Releasing Parties, on behalf of themselves, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever waived, released, and discharged, with prejudice, all Released Claims against the Released Parties, regardless of whether such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim.
- (b) Upon the Effective Date of this Settlement, each and every Settlement Class Member, and their Related Parties and any Person claiming through or on behalf of them in their capacity as such, will be permanently and forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, administrative forum, or any other forum, including but not limited to the Federal

Action, asserting the Released Claims against the Released Parties, and agrees and covenants not to assist any third party in commencing, instituting or prosecuting any Released Claims against the Released Parties, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim.

- (c) Upon the Effective Date of this Settlement, each of the Defendants and the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released and discharged all Released Defendants' Claims against Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, Plaintiffs' Counsel and each and all of the Settlement Class Members and their Related Parties.
- (d) Notwithstanding the provisions of ¶¶ 3.2(a) through (c) hereof, in the event that any of the Released Parties asserts against Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, any Settlement Class Member, or their respective counsel, any claim that is a Released Defendants' Claim, then such Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, or Settlement Class Member, or counsel shall be entitled to use and assert such factual matters included within the Released Claims only against such Released Party in defense of such claim, but not for the purposes of affirmatively asserting any claim against any Released Party.
- (e) Notwithstanding the provisions of ¶¶ 3.2(a) through (c) hereof, in the event that Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, or any member of the Settlement Class asserts against any of the Released Parties or their respective counsel any claim that is a Released Claim, then such Released Party or counsel shall be entitled to use and assert such factual matters included within the Released Defendants' Claims only against such Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, or Settlement Class Member in defense of such claim, but not for the purposes of affirmatively asserting any claim against Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, or any Settlement Class Member.
- (f) The releases provided in this Stipulation shall become effective immediately upon occurrence of the Effective Date without the need for any further action, notice, condition or event.

4.1

1

4 5

7

9

8

11

12

13

10

14 15

1617

1819

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

of the claims against Defendants and in consideration of the releases contemplated herein, the sum of \$107,500,000 in cash within twenty-eight (28) calendar days from the later of: (a) the date of entry of the Notice Order; or (b) the date on which the Company has been provided with the necessary information to write a check or issue the funds by wire transfer to the Escrow Agent for deposit into the Escrow Account. The Parties agree that the Settlement Fund is intended to be a Qualified Settlement Fund within the meaning of Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-1. The account funds, less any amounts incurred for notice, administration, and/or taxes, plus any accrued interest thereon, shall revert to the person(s) making the deposits if the Settlement does not become effective for any reason, including by reason of a termination of the Settlement. The Settlement Fund includes any interest earned thereon.

The Company shall deposit or cause the deposit into the Escrow Account, in settlement

4.2 Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, and all Settlement Class Members shall look solely to the Settlement Fund as satisfaction of all claims that are released hereunder. Defendants shall have no obligation under this Stipulation or the Settlement to pay any additional amounts, of any kind or for any reason, and upon payment funding pursuant to ¶4.1 hereof, Defendants shall have no other obligation to pay or reimburse any fees, expenses, taxes, costs, liability or damages whatsoever alleged or incurred by Plaintiffs, by Federal Plaintiff, by any Settlement Class Member, or by any of their attorneys, experts, advisors, agents, or representatives with respect to the Action, the Federal Action, and Released Claims. Any award made by the Court pursuant to the Fee and Expense Application referred to in ¶ 6.1 hereof shall be paid exclusively from the Settlement Fund; any agreement between or among Plaintiffs' Counsel to divide fees, expenses, costs or interest shall be between or among such Plaintiffs' Counsel only; and Defendants shall have no obligation with respect to any allocation between or among Plaintiffs' Counsel, or with respect to any payment to any Plaintiffs' Counsel, of any fees, expenses, costs or interest. Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members acknowledge that as of the Effective Date, the releases given herein shall become effective immediately by operation of the Final Judgment and shall be permanent, absolute and unconditional.

1	
2	(i)
3	m
4	(ii
5	ad
6	th
7	di
8	Se
9	A
10	be
11	as
12	pι
13	th
14	th
15	in
16	or
17	th
18	of
19	ra
20	gu
21	ha
22	
23	th

(b) For the purpose of § 1.468B of the Code and the Treasury regulations ereunder, the Escrow Agent shall be designated as the "administrator" of the Settlement Fund. The Escrow Agent shall timely and properly file all informational and other tax returns necessary or advisable with respect to the Settlement Fund (including, without limitation, the returns described in Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-2(k)). Such returns (as well as the election described below) shall be consistent with this paragraph and in all events shall reflect that all Taxes (including any estimated Taxes,

24

25

26

27

3

5

6

8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

5. Administration

5.1

26 27

28

allowed and oversee distribution of the Settlement Fund subject to such supervision of Lead Counsel, in consultation with Federal Plaintiff's Counsel, and/or the Court as the circumstances may require.

interest, or penalties) on the income earned by the Settlement Fund shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund as provided herein.

- (c) All: (i) taxes (including any estimated taxes, interest or penalties) arising with respect to the income earned by the Settlement Fund, including any taxes or tax detriments that may be imposed upon Defendants or their related parties with respect to any income earned by the Settlement Fund for any period during which the Settlement Fund does not qualify as a "qualified settlement fund" for federal or state income tax purposes; and (ii) all other tax expenses incurred in the operation of and implementation of this paragraph, including, without limitation, expenses of tax attorneys and/or accountants and mailing and distribution expenses related to filing or failing to file the returns described in this paragraph (collectively, "Taxes") shall promptly be paid out of the Settlement Fund by the Escrow Agent without prior order from the Court. The Escrow Agent shall also be obligated to, and shall be responsible for, withholding from distribution to Settlement Class Members any funds necessary to pay such amounts, including the establishment of adequate reserves for any Taxes. The Parties agree to cooperate with the Escrow Agent, each other, and their tax attorneys and accountants to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of this paragraph.
- (d) Except for Lead Counsel's responsibility as Escrow Agent when acting in its capacity as Escrow Agent, neither the Parties nor their counsel shall have any responsibility for or liability whatsoever with respect to: (i) any act, omission or determination of the Escrow Agent or the Claims Administrator, or any of their respective designees or agents, in connection with the administration of the Settlement Fund or otherwise; (ii) the Plan of Allocation; (iii) the determination, administration, calculation, or payment of any claims asserted against the Settlement Fund; or (iv) the payment or withholding of any taxes, expenses, and/or costs incurred in connection with the taxation of the Settlement Fund or the filing of any returns.

The Claims Administrator shall administer and calculate the claims that shall be

11

10

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Claims Administrator agrees to be subject to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the administration of the Settlement and the distribution of the Settlement Fund pursuant to the terms of this Stipulation. Defendants shall have no role in, or responsibility for, any aspect of the administration of the Settlement and shall have no liability to Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, the Settlement Class, or any other person in connection with, as a result of, or arising out of, such administration. The Claims Administrator will not make any distributions to Settlement Class Members from the Net Settlement Fund until the Final Judgment becomes Final and all the conditions described in ¶ 11.1 herein have been satisfied.

5.2 Lead Counsel may pay from the Settlement Fund, without further approval from Defendants or the Court, reasonable costs and expenses up to the sum of \$750,000 associated with Notice to the Settlement Class, and the administration of the Settlement, including, without limitation, the actual costs of Notice, and the administrative expenses incurred and fees charged by the Claims Administrator in connection with providing notice and processing the submitted claims. Prior to the Effective Date, all costs and expenses incurred in connection with the administration of the Settlement in excess of \$750,000 shall be paid from the Settlement Fund subject to approval from the Court. After the Effective Date, all costs and expenses incurred and fees charged by the Claims Administrator in connection with the administration of the Settlement shall be paid from the Settlement Fund without further approval from Defendants or the Court. Within ten (10) business days of entry of the Notice Order, Micro Focus (including any successor-in-interest), at its expense, shall promptly make, or cause to be made, the last known addresses of Settlement Class Members, or other identifying information, as set forth in the books and records regularly maintained by the Company, available to the Claims Administrator for the purpose of identifying and giving notice to the Settlement Class.

6. **Fee and Expense Application**

6.1 Lead Counsel will submit, on behalf of Plaintiffs' Counsel, an application or applications (the "Fee and Expense Application") to the Court for an award from the Settlement Fund of: (i) attorneys' fees and the payment of litigation expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of the Action and the Federal Action, plus interest on both amounts at the same rate and

24

25

26

27

28

period as earned on the Settlement Fund (until paid) as may be awarded by the Court; and (ii) an award to Plaintiffs and Federal Plaintiff, including reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) incurred, in connection with their representation of the Settlement Class. Attorneys' fees, expenses, and interest as are awarded by the Court shall be paid from the Settlement Fund to Lead Counsel immediately upon entry by the Court of an order awarding such amounts, notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections thereto, or potential for appeal therefrom, or collateral attack on the Settlement or any part thereof. Consistent with the terms of the Parties' agreement to globally resolve this Action and the Federal Action, Lead Counsel may thereafter allocate such fees to Plaintiffs' Counsel subject to each Plaintiffs' Counsel's (including their respective partners, shareholders and/or firms) several obligation to repay those amounts to the Settlement Fund plus accrued interest earned on such fees and expenses, if and when, whether as a result of any appeal and/or further proceedings on remand, or successful collateral attack or otherwise, the fee or expense award is reduced or reversed or return of the Settlement Fund is required. In such event, Plaintiffs' Counsel shall, within fourteen (14) business days from the event which requires repayment of any portion of the fee or expense award, refund to the Settlement Fund the fee and expense award paid to them, along with interest, as described above, in an amount consistent with such reversal or modification. Furthermore, all Plaintiffs' Counsel (including their respective partners, shareholders and/or firms) agree that they remain subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court for the purpose of enforcing their obligation to repay required attorneys' fees and expenses to the Settlement Fund as provided in this paragraph.

Application to be paid out of the Settlement Fund is not part of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation, and shall be considered by the Court separate and apart from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement, and shall have no effect on the terms of the Stipulation or on the validity or enforceability of this Settlement. The approval of the Settlement, and it becoming Final, shall not be contingent on any award of attorneys' fees and expenses, any award to Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' counsel, nor any appeals from such awards. Any order or proceeding relating to the Fee and Expense Application, or any appeal from any order relating

4

8

7

11

10

12 13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

7. **Distribution to Authorized Claimants**

25

26

27

28 Allocation as the Court approves.

7.1

thereto or reversal or modification thereof, shall not operate to, or be grounds to, terminate or cancel or modify this Stipulation or the Settlement of the Action, or affect or delay the finality of the Final Judgment approving this Settlement.

- 6.3 Plaintiffs and Federal Plaintiff may submit an application for an award pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1(a)(4) in connection with their representation of the Settlement Class. Any awards to Plaintiffs and Federal Plaintiff shall be paid solely from the Settlement Fund immediately upon entry by the Court of an order awarding such amounts, notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections thereto, or potential for appeal therefrom, or collateral attack on the Settlement or any part thereof. However, in the event that the Effective Date does not occur, or the Final Judgment or the order approving Plaintiffs' or Federal Plaintiff's application for an award is reversed or modified, or the Stipulation is canceled or terminated for any other reason, and such reversal, modification, cancellation, or termination becomes final and not subject to review, or if return of the Settlement Fund is required, for whatever reason, then Plaintiffs or Federal Plaintiff, as the case may be, shall, within fourteen (14) business days after receiving notice of such an occurance, refund to the Settlement Fund such amounts previously paid to them from the Settlement Fund in an amount consistent with such reversal or modification.
- 6.4 Any fees and/or expenses awarded by the Court shall be paid solely from the Settlement Fund. The Released Parties shall have no responsibility for, and no liability whatsoever with respect to, any application for, determination of, or payment of, any attorneys' fees, costs or expenses (including taxes) to Plaintiffs' Counsel or any Person. The Released Parties shall have no responsibility for, and no liability with respect to, the allocation among Plaintiffs' Counsel and/or any other Person who may assert some claim thereto, of any attorneys' fees, costs or expenses that the Court may award.

of the Net Settlement Fund based upon each Authorized Claimant's Recognized Claim as defined in

the Plan of Allocation described in the Notice annexed hereto as Exhibit A-1, or in such other Plan of

The Claims Administrator shall determine each Authorized Claimant's pro rata share

7

8

13

16 17

> 18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

7.2 The Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is not a necessary term of this Stipulation and it is not a condition of this Stipulation that any particular Plan of Allocation be approved. The Released Parties take, and will take, no position with respect to the proposed Plan of Allocation as set forth in the Notice or such Plan of Allocation as may be approved by the Court. The Plan of Allocation was prepared by Plaintiffs in consultation with the Federal Plaintiff and without the participation of Defendants, and consequently neither the Plan, nor Plaintiffs' statements regarding it, should be construed as any indication of Defendants' views regarding these issues or any endorsement of the views expressed by Plaintiffs. Defendants have denied, and continued to deny, that any of the claims, allegations or events asserted in this Action or the Federal Action have caused any investor compensable losses. The Plan of Allocation is a matter separate and apart from the Settlement between the Parties and any decision by the Court concerning the Plan of Allocation shall not affect the validity or finality of the proposed Settlement.

7.3 Each Authorized Claimant shall be allocated a pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund based on his or her Recognized Claim compared to the total Recognized Claims of all accepted claimants. The Settlement is non-recapture, i.e., it is not a claims-made settlement. Defendants shall not be entitled to get back any of the settlement monies, or interest earned thereon, once the Final Judgment becomes Final and all the conditions set forth in ¶10.1 herein have been satisfied. The Released Parties shall have no involvement in reviewing, evaluating, or challenging claims and shall have no responsibility or liability for determining the allocation of any payments to any Settlement Class Members or for any other matters pertaining to the Plan of Allocation.

8. **Administration of the Settlement**

- 8.1 Within ninety (90) calendar days after such time as set by the Court to mail notice to the Settlement Class, each Person claiming to be an Authorized Claimant shall be required to submit to the Claims Administrator a completed Proof of Claim, substantially in a form contained in Exhibit A-2 attached hereto and as approved by the Court, signed under penalty of perjury and supported by such documents as are specified in the Proof of Claim.
- 8.2 Except as otherwise ordered by the Court, all Settlement Class Members who fail to timely submit a Proof of Claim within such period, or such other period as may be ordered by the

12

13

11

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Court, shall be forever barred from receiving any payments pursuant to the Stipulation and the Settlement set forth herein, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions of the Stipulation, the releases contained herein, and the Final Judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Lead Counsel, in consultation with Federal Plaintiff's Counsel, shall have the discretion (but not the obligation) to accept for processing late submitted claims so long as the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants is not materially delayed. No Person shall have any claim against Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff or Plaintiffs' Counsel or the Claims Administrator by reason of the exercise or non-exercise of such discretion.

- 8.3 Each Proof of Claim shall be submitted to and reviewed by the Claims Administrator, under the supervision of Lead Counsel and in consultation with Federal Plaintiff's Counsel, who shall determine, in accordance with this Stipulation and the approved Plan of Allocation, the extent, if any, to which each claim shall be allowed, subject to review by the Court pursuant to ¶ 8.5 below.
- 8.4 Proofs of Claim that do not meet the submission requirements may be rejected. Prior to rejecting a Proof of Claim in whole or in part, the Claims Administrator shall communicate with the claimant in writing to give the claimant the chance to remedy any curable deficiencies in the Proof of Claim submitted. The Claims Administrator, under the supervision of Lead Counsel and in consulation with Federal Plaintiff's Counsel, shall notify, in a timely fashion and in writing, all claimants whose claims the Claims Administrator proposes to reject in whole or in part, setting forth the reasons therefor, and shall indicate in such notice that the claimant whose claim is to be rejected has the right to a review by the Court if the claimant so desires and complies with the requirements of \P 8.5 below.
- 8.5 If any claimant whose timely claim has been rejected in whole or in part desires to contest such rejection, the claimant must, within twenty (20) calendar days after the date of mailing of the notice required in ¶ 8.4 above, or a lesser period of time if the claim was untimely, serve upon the Claims Administrator a notice and statement of reasons indicating the claimant's grounds for

contesting the rejection along with any supporting documentation, and requesting a review thereof by the Court. If a dispute concerning a claim cannot be otherwise resolved, Lead Counsel shall thereafter present the claimant's request for review to the Court.

- 8.6 Each claimant who declines to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall be deemed to have submitted to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the claimant's claim, including, but not limited to, all releases provided for herein and in the Final Judgment, and the claim will be subject to investigation and discovery under the California Code of Civil Procedure, provided that such investigation and discovery shall be limited to the claimant's status as a Settlement Class Member and the validity and amount of the claimant's claim. In connection with processing the Proofs of Claim, no discovery shall be allowed on the merits of the Action or the Settlement.
- 8.7 No Person shall have any claim against the Released Persons, Defendants' counsel, Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, Plaintiffs' Counsel or the Claims Administrator, or any other Person designated by Lead Counsel or Federal Plaintiff's Counsel based on determinations or distributions made substantially in accordance with this Stipulation and the Settlement contained herein, the Plan of Allocation, or further order(s) of the Court.
- 8.8 The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants substantially in accordance with the Plan of Allocation described in the Notice and approved by the Court. If there is any balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund after a reasonable amount of time from the date of distribution of the Settlement Fund (whether by reason of tax refunds, uncashed checks, or otherwise), Lead Counsel shall, if economically feasible, reallocate such balance among Authorized Claimants in an equitable and economic fashion. These redistributions will be repeated until the balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund is no longer economically reasonable, in Lead Counsel's discretion, in consultation with Federal Plaintiff's Counsel, to distribute to Settlement Class Members. Thereafter, any balance which still remains in the Net Settlement Fund shall be donated to Bay Area Legal Aid.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

- 8.9 Except for the Company's obligation to pay the Settlement Amount or cause it to be paid, if applicable, Defendants and Defendants' Counsel shall have no liability, obligation or responsibility for the administration of the Settlement or disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund. Lead Counsel shall have the right, but not the obligation, to advise the Claims Administrator to waive what Lead Counsel reasonably deems to be formal or technical defects in any Proofs of Claim submitted, including, without limitation, failure to submit a document by the submission deadline, in the interests of achieving substantial justice.
- All proceedings with respect to the administration, processing and determination of claims and the determination of all controversies relating thereto, including disputed questions of law and fact with respect to the validity of claims, shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the Court.
- 8.11 The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed by the Claims Administrator to, or for the account of, Authorized Claimants, as the case may be, only after the Effective Date and after: (i) all claims have been processed, and all claimants whose claims have been rejected or disallowed, in whole or in part, have been notified and provided the opportunity to be heard concerning such rejection or disallowance; (ii) all objections with respect to all rejected or disallowed claims have been resolved by the Court, and all appeals therefrom have been resolved or the time therefor has expired; and (iii) all matters with respect to the Fee and Expense Application have been resolved by the Court, all appeals therefrom have been resolved or the time therefore has expired.

9. **Terms of Order for Notice and Hearing**

9.1 Promptly after this Stipulation has been fully executed, and in accordance with any schedule so ordered by the Court, Lead Counsel shall apply to the Court by motion on notice for entry of the Notice Order, substantially in the form annexed hereto as Exhibit A. Lead Counsel and Defendants shall jointly request that the postmark deadline for objecting and/or submitting exclusions (or "opt-outs") from this Settlement be set at least twenty-eight (28) calendar days prior to the date of the Settlement Fairness Hearing as set forth in the Notice Order. Upon receiving any request(s) for exclusion ("Requests for Exclusion"), the Claims Administrator shall promptly notify Lead Counsel, Federal Plaintiff's Counsel and Defendants' Counsel of such Requests for Exclusion including by providing copies of same. All such Requests for Exclusion shall be provided to Plaintiffs' Counsel

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

11.3 Each of the Plaintiffs and Federal Plaintiff, and each of the Defendants, through their respective counsel, shall, in each of their separate discretions, have the right to terminate the Settlement and this Stipulation, as to themselves, by providing written notice of their election to do so ("Termination Notice") to all other Parties hereto within thirty (30) calendar days of the date on which: (a) the Court files an order declining to enter the Notice Order in any material respect; (b) the Court files an order refusing to approve this Stipulation or any material part of it; (c) the Court files an order declining to enter the Final Judgment in any material respect; (d) the Court enters an Alternative Judgment; (e) the Final Judgment is modified or reversed by a court of appeal or any higher court in any material respect; (f) an Alternative Judgment is modified or reversed by a court of appeal or any higher court in any material respect; or (g) the judgment of dismissal in the Federal Action is vacated, the appeal in the Federal Action is not dismissed, or any other event that has the effect of reinstating the Federal Action.

11.4 In addition to the grounds set forth in ¶ 11.3, Defendants shall have the unilateral right to terminate the Settlement in the event that Settlement Class Members timely and validly requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class meet the conditions set forth in Defendants' confidential supplemental agreement with Plaintiffs and the Federal Plaintiff (the "Supplemental Agreement"), in

accordance with the terms of that agreement. The Supplemental Agreement, which is being executed concurrently herewith, shall not be filed with the Court and its terms shall not be disclosed in any other manner (other than the statements herein and in the Notice, to the extent necessary, or as otherwise provided in the Supplemental Agreement) unless and until the Court otherwise directs or a dispute arises between Lead Plaintiff and Defendants concerning its interpretation or application, in which event the Parties shall submit the Supplemental Agreement to the Court in camera and request that the Court afford it confidential treatment.

11.5 Except as otherwise provided herein, in the event the Settlement is terminated in accordance herewith, is vacated, or the Effective Date fails to occur for any reason, then the Parties shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective status in the Action and the Federal Action as of December 15, 2022, and, except as otherwise expressly provided, they shall proceed in all respects as if this Stipulation and any related orders had not been entered, and any portion of the Settlement Amount previously paid by or on behalf of Defendants, together with any interest earned thereon (and, if applicable, re-payment of any attorneys' fee and expense award(s) referred to in ¶ 6.1 and 6.3 hereof), less any Taxes due, if any, with respect to such income, and less costs of administration and notice actually incurred and paid or payable from the Settlement Amount, shall be returned to the party, parties or insurer that paid the Settlement Amount as directed by Micro Focus within ten (10) business days from the date of the event causing such termination.

12. No Admission of Wrongdoing

- 12.1 Defendants deny that they have committed any act or omission giving rise to any liability and/or violation of law, in any jurisdiction, and state that they are entering into this Settlement to eliminate the burden and expense of further litigation, as described further in Section III above. This Stipulation, whether or not consummated, including any and all of its terms, provisions, exhibits and prior drafts, and any negotiations or proceedings related or taken pursuant to it:
- (a) shall not be offered or received against any Defendant as evidence of or construed as or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession or admission by any Defendant of the truth of any allegations in the Action or the Federal Action, or the validity of any claim that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or the Federal Action, or the deficiency of any defense

that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or the Federal Action, including, but not limited to, litigation of the Released Claims, or of any liability, negligence, fault or wrongdoing of any kind of any Defendant;

- (b) shall not be offered or received against any Defendant as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any Defendant, in any other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, in any jurisdiction, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation; provided, however, that if this Stipulation is approved by the Court and becomes effective pursuant to its terms, Defendants may refer to it to effectuate the liability protection granted them hereunder;
- (c) shall not be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, or presumption against Defendants, Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff or any Settlement Class Members that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial or in any proceeding other than this Settlement, or that any claims of Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff or Settlement Class Members are without merit; and
- (d) notwithstanding the foregoing, Defendants, Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, Settlement Class Members, and/or the Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or the Final Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

13. Miscellaneous Provisions

- 13.1 All of the exhibits attached hereto are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 13.2 The Parties intend the Settlement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes asserted or which could be asserted by Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff and/or any Settlement Class Member against the Released Parties with respect to the Released Claims. Accordingly, the Parties agree not to assert in any forum that the Action or the Federal Action was brought by Plaintiffs,

24

25

26

27

28

Federal Plaintiff, or defended by Defendants, in bad faith or without a reasonable basis. The Parties further agree not to assert in any forum that any party violated Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 or California Code of Civil Procedure §128.7 relating to the prosecution, defense, or settlement of the Action or the Federal Action. The Parties agree that the amount paid and the other terms of the Settlement were negotiated at arm's-length in good faith by the Parties, and reflect a settlement that was reached voluntarily after consultation with experienced legal counsel.

- 13.3 This Stipulation may not be modified or amended, nor may any of its provisions be waived, except by a writing signed by all Parties hereto.
- 13.4 The headings herein are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not meant to have legal effect.
- 13.5 The administration and consummation of the Settlement as embodied in this Stipulation shall be under the authority of the Court, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of entering orders relating to the Fee and Expense Application, the Plan of Allocation and enforcing the terms of this Stipulation.
- The waiver by one party of any breach of this Stipulation by any other party shall not be deemed a waiver of any other prior or subsequent breach of this Stipulation.
- 13.7 This Stipulation, its exhibits and the Supplemental Agreement constitute the entire agreement among the Parties hereto concerning the Settlement of the Action, and no representations, warranties, or inducements have been made by any party hereto concerning this Stipulation, its exhibits and the Supplemental Agreement other than the representations, warranties, and covenants contained and memorialized in such documents.
- This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts and the signatures may be by facsimile, or electronically. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument provided that counsel for the Parties shall exchange among themselves original signed counterparts.
- This Stipulation shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors, 13.9 assigns, executors, administrators, heirs and legal representatives of the Parties hereto. assignment shall relieve any party hereto of obligations hereunder.

24

25

26

27

28

13.10 The construction, interpretation, operation, effect and validity of this Stipulation, and
all documents necessary to effectuate it, shall be governed by the laws of the State of California
without regard to conflicts of laws, except to the extent that federal law requires that federal law
governs, and in accordance with the laws of the United States.

- 13.11 This Stipulation shall not be construed more strictly against one party than another merely by virtue of the fact that it, or any part of it, may have been prepared by counsel for one of the Parties, it being recognized that it is the result of arm's-length negotiations between the Parties and all Parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation.
- 13.12 All counsel and any other person executing this Stipulation and any of the exhibits hereto, or any related settlement documents, warrant and represent that they have the full authority to do so and that they have the authority to take appropriate action required or permitted to be taken pursuant to the Stipulation to effectuate its terms.
- 13.13 The Settlement contemplated herein is not subject to or contingent upon confirmatory discovery or other discovery.
- 13.14 In the event that the Settlement does not become final for any reason, or the judgment is vacated, then the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of December 15, 2022; and the fact and terms of the Settlement shall not be admissible in any proceeding, motion or trial of the Action or the Federal Action.
- 13.15 The Parties and their counsel shall not make any applications for sanctions, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 or California Code of Civil Procedure §128.7 or any other applicable rule, code, or statute, with respect to any claims or defenses in this Action or the Federal Action. The Parties agree that throughout the course of both the Action and the Federal Action, all Parties and their counsel complied with the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and California Code of Civil Procedure §128.7, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act of 1998, and all applicable ethics requirements.
- 13.16 Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel agree to cooperate reasonably with one another in seeking Court approval of the order for notice and hearing, the Stipulation and the Settlement, and to promptly agree upon and execute all such other documentation as may be

1	reasonably required to o
2	its counsel agree to sup
3	withdraw the motion to
4	prejudice the pending a
5	Federal Action will rem
6	13.17 Except a
7	relating to the Settleme
8	Parties and, failing that
9	that not be successful,
10	of the Court's supervisi
11	IN WITNESS V
12	duly authorized attorne
13	6
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	v
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

reasonably required to obtain final approval by the Court of the Settlement. The Federal Plaintiff and its counsel agree to support the Settlement and, if the Settlement is finally approved by the Court, to withdraw the motion to vacate the judgment of dismissal in the Federal Action and to dismiss with prejudice the pending appeal before the Second Circuit such that the judgment of dismissal in the Federal Action will remain intact.

13.17 Except as otherwise provided herein, any dispute or controversy arising out of or relating to the Settlement Agreement shall be resolved first by discussion among counsel for the Parties and, failing that, by confidential mediation over which Judge Phillips shall preside. Should that not be successful, any remaining disputes may then be resolved by Judge Weiner in the context of the Court's supervision of the settlement of the Action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Stipulation to be executed, by their duly authorized attorneys, on January 24, 2023.

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN

& DOWD LLP JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565)

JAMES I. JACONETTE

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax) jamesi@rgrdlaw.com

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP SAMUEL H. RUDMAN JOSEPH RUSSELLO (pro hac vice) PHILIP T. MERENDA (pro hac vice) WILLIAM A. MASSA (pro hac vice) BRENT E. MITCHELL (pro hac vice) 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100 631/367-1173 (fax) srudman@rgrdlaw.com jrussello@rgrdlaw.com pmerenda@rgrdlaw.com wmassa@rgrdlaw.com bmitchell@rgrdlaw.com

1	COTCHETT DITDE & MCCADTHY LLD
2	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009)
3	TYSON REDENBARGER (294424) ELLE LEWIS (238329)
4	$\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{2}$
5	MADIZ MOLUMBUY
6	MARK C MOLUMPHY
7	San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010
8	Telephone: 650/697-6000 650/697-0577 (fax)
9	mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com
10	elewis@cpmlegal.com
11	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
12	JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605) JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933)
13	600 West Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101
14	Telephone: 619/233-4565 619/233-0508 (fax)
15	jjasnoch@scott-scott.com jpettigrew@scott-scott.com
16	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP JEFFREY P. JACOBSON (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
17	MARC J. GRECO (pro hac vice) The Helmsley Building
18	230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor
19	New York, NY 10169 Telephone: 212/223-6444
20	212/223-6334 (fax) jjacobson@scott-scott.com
21	mgreco@scott-scott.com
22	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP AMANDA F. LAWRENCE (pro hac vice)
23	
24	
25	AMANDA F. LAWRENCE
26	156 S. Main Street P.O. Box 192
27	Colchester, CT 06415 Telephone: 860/537-5537
28	860/537-4432 (fax) alawrence@scott-scott.com
-	

1	
2	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009) TYSON REDENBARGER (294424)
3	ELLE LEWIS (238329)
4	
5	MARK C. MOLUMPHY
6	
7	San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
8	Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: 650/697-6000
9	650/697-0577 (fax) mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com
10	tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com elewis@cpmlegal.com
11	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605)
12	JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933) 600 West Broadway, Suite 3300
13	San Diego, CA 92101
14	Telephone: 619/233-4565 619/233-0508 (fax)
15	jjasnoch@scott-scott.com jpettigrew@scott-scott.com
16	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
17	JEFFREY P. JACOBSON (<i>pro hac vice</i>) MARC J. GRECO (<i>pro hac vice</i>) The Helmsley Building
18	230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10169
19	Telephone: 212/223-6444 212/223-6334 (fax)
20	jjacobson@scott-scott.com mgreco@scott-scott.com
21	
22	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP AMANDA F. LAWRENCE (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
23	A La race
24	AMANDA F. LAWRENCE
25	
26	156 S. Main Street P.O. Box 192 Colchester, CT 06415
27	Telephone: 860/537-5537
28	860/537-4432 (fax) alawrence@scott-scott.com

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Class Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Action

& GROSSMANN LLP JAMES A. HARROD JAI CHANDRASEKHAR

JAMES A. HARROD

1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 Telephone: 212/554-1400 212/554-1444 (fax) jim.harrod@blbglaw.com jai@blbglaw.com

Lead Counsel in the Federal Action

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP TIMOTHY G. CAMERON (pro hac vice) LAUREN M. ROSENBERG (pro hac vice) HANNAH DWYER (pro hac vice) PERRY J. GOFFNER (pro hac vice)

TIMOTHY G. CAMERON

825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 Telephone: 212/474-1000 tcameron@cravath.com lrosenberg@cravath.com hdwyer@cravath.com pgoffner@cravath.com

MAYER BROWN LLP LEE H. RUBIN (141331) CHRISTOPHER J. KELLY (276312) Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306 Telephone: 650/331-2000 lrubin@mayerbrown.com cjkelly@mayerbrown.com

1	
2	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Action
3	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
4	JAMES A. HARROD JAI CHANDRASEKHAR
5	JAI CHANDRASERHAR
6	
7	JAMES A. HARROD
8	1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020
9	Telephone: 212/554-1400 212/554-1444 (fax)
10	jim.harrod@blbglaw.com jai@blbglaw.com
11	
12	Lead Counsel in the Federal Action
13	CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP TIMOTHY G. CAMERON (pro hac vice)
14	LAUREN M. ROSENBERG (pro hac vice) HANNAH DWYER (pro hac vice)
15	PERRY J. GOFFNER (pro hac vice)
16	
17	TIMOTHY G. CAMERON
18	825 Eighth Avenue
19	New York, NY 10019 Telephone: 212/474-1000
20	tcameron@cravath.com lrosenberg@cravath.com
21	hdwyer@cravath.com pgoffner@cravath.com
22	MAYER BROWN LLP
23	LEE H. RUBIN (141331) CHRISTOPHER J. KELLY (276312)
	Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300 3000 El Camino Real
24	Palo Alto, CA 94306 Telephone: 650/331-2000
25	lrubin@mayerbrown.com cjkelly@mayerbrown.com
26	- 1-1011, (10)11111, 111111111111111111111111111111
27	

1	
2	MAYER BROWN LLP SARAH E. BALKISSOON (327066)
3	575 Market Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94105
4	Tel: (650) 331-2000 sbalkissoon@mayerbrown.com
5	Counsel for Defendants Micro Focus International
6	plc, Stephen Murdoch, Mike Phillips, Kevin Loosemore, Nils Brauckmann, Karen Slatford,
7	Richard Atkins, Amanda Brown, Silke Scheiber, Darren Roos, and Giselle Manon
8	BERGESON, LLP DANIEL J. BERGESON (105439)
9	JOHN D. PERNICK (155468) ADAM C. TRIGG (261498)
10	ADAW C. TRIGG (2014)0)
11	
12	JOHN D. PERNICK
13	111 N. Market Street, Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95113
14	Telephone: 408/291-6200 408/297-6000 (fax)
15	dbergeson@be-law.com jpernick@be-law.com
16	atrigg@be-law.com
17	Counsel for Christopher Hsu
18	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP JOSEPH E. FLOREN (168292)
19	
20	
21	JOSEPH E. FLOREN
22	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105
23	Telephone: 415/442-1000 415/442-1001 (fax)
24	joseph.floren@morganlewis.com
25	Counsel for Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company and John Schultz
26	
27	
28	

1	
2	MAYER BROWN LLP SARAH E. BALKISSOON (327066)
3	575 Market Street, Suite 2500 San Francisco, CA 94105
4	Tel: (650) 331-2000 sbalkissoon@mayerbrown.com
5	Counsel for Defendants Micro Focus International plc, Stephen Murdoch, Mike Phillips, Kevin
6	Loosemore, Nils Brauckmann, Karen Slatford,
7	Richard Atkins, Amanda Brown, Silke Scheiber, Darren Roos, and Giselle Manon
8	BERGESON, LLP DANIEL J. BERGESON (105439)
9	JOHN D. PERNICK (155468) ADAM C. TRIGG (261498)
10	
11	
12	JOHN D. PERNICK
13	111 N. Market Street, Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95113
14	Telephone: 408/291-6200 408/297-6000 (fax)
15	dbergeson@be-law.com jpernick@be-law.com
16	atrigg@be-law.com
17	Counsel for Christopher Hsu
18	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP JOSEPH E. FLOREN (168292)
19	
20	Joneth & Firm
21	JOSEPH E. FLOREN
22	One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105
23	Telephone: 415/442-1000 415/442-1001 (fax)
24	joseph.floren@morganlewis.com
25	Counsel for Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company and John Schultz
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT A

1 2	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	
3	JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	
4	San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
5	619/231-7423 (fax) jamesj@rgrdlaw.com	
6	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
7	MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009) TYSON REDENBARGER (294424)	JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605) JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933)
8	ELLE LEWIS (238329) San Francisco Airport Office Center	600 West Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101
9	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010	Telephone: 619/233-4565 619/233-0508 (fax)
10	Telephone: 650/697-6000 650/697-0577 (fax)	jjasnoch@scott-scott.com jpettigrew@scott-scott.com
11	mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com	
12	elewis@cpmlegal.com	
13	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs	
14	GUPERIOR GOURT OF T	
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16	COUNTY C	OF SAN MATEO
17	In re MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION) Lead Case No. 18CIV01549
18) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
19	This Document Relates To:) EXHIBIT A – [PROPOSED] ORDER) PRELIMINARILY APPROVING
20	ALL ACTIONS.) SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR) NOTICE
21		Assigned for All Purposes to:
22		Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2 Date Action Filed: 03/28/18
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
21		

EXHIBIT A – [PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT AND PROVIDING FOR NOTICE

to determine whether the proposed Plan of Allocation should be approved by

1

(d)

notice to the Settlement Class. The Claims Administrator shall use reasonable efforts to give notice to nominee purchasers such as brokerage firms and other persons or entities who purchased or otherwise acquired the American Depositary Shares ("ADSs") or American Depositary Receipts ("ADRs") of Micro Focus during the Settlement Class Period as record owners but not as beneficial owners. Such nominee purchasers are directed, within fourteen (14) calendar days of their receipt of the Notice, to either forward copies of the Notice and Proof of Claim to their beneficial owners or to provide the Claims Administrator with lists of the names and addresses of the beneficial owners, and the Claims Administrator is ordered to send the Notice and Proof of Claim promptly to such identified beneficial owners. Nominee purchasers who elect to send the Notice and Proof of Claim to their beneficial owners shall send a statement to the Claims Administrator confirming that the mailing was made as directed. Additional copies of the Notice shall be made available to any record holder requesting such for the purpose of distribution to beneficial owners, and such record holders shall be reimbursed from the Settlement Fund, upon receipt by the Claims Administrator of proper documentation, for the reasonable expense of sending the Notice and Proof of Claim to beneficial owners.

- 7. The Claims Administrator shall cause the Summary Notice to be published once in the national edition of *The Wall Street Journal*, and once over a national newswire service, within ten (10) calendar days after the mailing of the Notice.
- 8. Lead Counsel shall, at least fourteen (14) calendar days before the Settlement Fairness Hearing, file with the Court and serve on the Parties proof of mailing of the Notice and Proof of Claim form and proof of publication of the Summary Notice.
- 9. The form and content of the Notice and the Summary Notice, and the method set forth herein of notifying the Settlement Class of the Settlement and its terms and conditions, meet the requirements of California law and due process, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
- 10. In order to be entitled to participate in the Net Settlement Fund, in the event the Settlement is consummated in accordance with its terms set forth in the Stipulation, each Settlement Class Member shall take the following actions and be subject to the following conditions:

- (a) Within ninety (90) days after such time as set by the Court to mail notice to the Settlement Class, each Person claiming to be an Authorized Claimant shall be required to submit to the Claims Administrator a completed Proof of Claim, substantially in a form contained in Exhibit A-2 attached hereto and as approved by the Court, signed under penalty of perjury and supported by such documents as are specified in the Proof of Claim and as are reasonably available to the Authorized Claimant.
- (b) Except as otherwise ordered by the Court, all Settlement Class Members who fail to timely submit a Proof of Claim within such period, or such other period as may be ordered by the Court, shall be forever barred from receiving any payments pursuant to the Stipulation and the Settlement set forth therein, but will in all other respects be subject to and bound by the provisions of the Stipulation, the releases contained therein, and the Final Judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Lead Counsel may, in their discretion, accept for processing late submitted claims so long as the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants is not materially delayed. No Person shall have any claim against Plaintiffs, the Federal Plaintiff, Plaintiffs' Counsel or the Claims Administrator by reason of the decision to exercise such discretion whether to accept late-submitted claims.
- (c) As part of the Proof of Claim, each Settlement Class Member shall submit to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to the claim submitted, and shall (subject to effectuation of the Settlement) release all Settled Claims as provided in the Stipulation.
- 11. Settlement Class Members shall be bound by all determinations and judgments in this Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, unless they request exclusion from the Settlement Class in a timely and proper manner, as hereinafter provided. A Settlement Class Member wishing to make such request shall, no later than twenty-eight (28) calendar days before the date of the Settlement Fairness Hearing, mail a request for exclusion in written form by first class mail postmarked to the address designated in the Notice. Such request for exclusion shall clearly indicate the name, address and telephone number of the person seeking exclusion, that the sender requests to be excluded from the Settlement, and must be signed by such person. Such persons requesting exclusion are also directed to state the date(s), price(s), and number(s) of ADSs/ADRs they purchased or acquired

pursuant or traceable to the Registration Statement or otherwise during the Settlement Class Period. The request for exclusion shall not be effective unless it is made in writing within the time stated above, and the exclusion is accepted by the Court. Settlement Class Members requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class shall not be entitled to receive any payment out of the Net Settlement Fund as described in the Stipulation and Notice.

12. The Court will consider objections to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, Plaintiffs' Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, and any request by Plaintiffs or the Federal Plaintiff for an award in representing the Settlement Class. Any person who wishes to object may do so in writing and/or by appearing at the Settlement Fairness Hearing. To the extent any person wants to object in writing, the objection and any supporting papers, accompanied by proof of Settlement Class membership, shall be filed with the Clerk of the Court, Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Mateo, 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063, and copies of all such papers served no later than , 2023, which is twenty-eight (28) calendar days before the date set for the Settlement Fairness Hearing on each of the following: Joseph Russello, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 58 South Service Road, Suite 200, Melville, NY 11747, and Mark C. Molumphy, Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200, Burlingame, CA 94010, on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class, and Timothy G. Cameron, Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, 825 Eighth Ave, New York, NY 10019, on behalf of Defendants. Counsel who receive any objection and any supporting papers will notify, as appropriate, Plaintiffs' Counsel or Defendants' Counsel of same within one (1) business day of receipt, and shall provide copies of same upon request. Persons who intend to object in writing, and who desire to present evidence at the Settlement Fairness Hearing, must include in their written objections copies of any exhibits they intend to introduce into evidence at the Settlement Fairness Hearing. If an objector hires an attorney to represent him, her or it for the purposes of making an objection, the attorney must both effect service of a notice of appearance on counsel listed above and file it with the Court by no later than 2023. Those who file a written objection need not appear at the Settlement Fairness Hearing for the Court to consider the objection. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, any member of the Settlement Class who does not make an objection in the manner provided shall be

10

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any such objection.

- 13. All papers in support of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, any request by Plaintiffs' Counsel for attorneys' fees and expenses, or any request by Plaintiffs or the Federal Plaintiff for an award, shall be filed forty-two (42) calendar days before the Settlement Fairness Hearing. All reply papers shall be filed and served at least fourteen (14) calendar days before the Settlement Fairness Hearing.
- 14. All funds held by the Escrow Agent shall be deemed and considered to be in custodia legis of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to the Stipulation and/or further order(s) of the Court.
- 15. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, all Certified Class Members, all Settlement Class Members, and each of them, and anyone who acts or purports to act on their behalf, shall not institute, commence, maintain or prosecute, and are hereby barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, maintaining or prosecuting, any action in any court or tribunal that asserts Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 16. All reasonable expenses incurred in identifying and notifying Settlement Class Members, as well as administering the Settlement Fund, shall be paid as set forth in the Stipulation. In the event the Settlement is not approved by the Court, or otherwise fails to become effective, neither Plaintiffs nor any of their counsel shall have any obligation to repay any amounts actually and properly disbursed from the Settlement Fund, except as provided for in the Stipulation.
- 17. If any specified condition to the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is not satisfied and Plaintiffs, the Federal Plaintiff or Defendants elect to terminate the Settlement, then, in any such event, the Stipulation, including any amendment(s) thereof, shall be null and void and of no further force or effect (except to the extent otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation), without prejudice to any party, and may not be introduced as evidence or referred to in this Action, the Federal Action, or any action or proceedings by any person or entity for any purpose, and each party shall be restored to his, her or its respective position as it existed on December 15, 2022.

- 1		
1	18.	The Court may adjourn or continue the Settlement Fairness Hearing without further
2	written notice	e.
3	19.	The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over the Action to consider all further matters
4	arising out o	f or connected with the Settlement. The Court may approve the Settlement, with such
5	modification	s as may be agreed by the Parties, if appropriate, without further notice to the Settlement
6	Class.	
7	DATED: _	TWE MONOR ARE ENABLE & WERVER
8		THE HONORABLE MARIE S. WEINER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

EXHIBIT A-1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax) jamesj@rgrdlaw.com COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009) TYSON REDENBARGER (294424) ELLE LEWIS (238329) San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: 650/697-6000 650/697-0577 (fax) mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605) JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933) 600 West Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/233-4565 619/233-0508 (fax) jjasnoch@scott-scott.com jpettigrew@scott-scott.com
11	tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com elewis@cpmlegal.com	
12	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs	
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	COUNTY	OF SAN MATEO
16	In re MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL) Lead Case No. 18CIV01549
101	III IE MICKO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL) Lead Case No. 18C1V01349
	PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION	
17	PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION) CLASS ACTION
17 18	PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION This Document Relates To:) CLASS ACTION) EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED) SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION
17 18 19		EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED
17 18 19 20	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION
17 18 19 20 21	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
17 18 19 20 21 22	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2

EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

TO: ALL PERSONS AND ENTITIES WHO PURCHASED OR ACQUIRED AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARES ("ADSs") OR AMERICAN DEPOSITARY RECEIPTS "(ADRs") OF MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL plc ("MICRO FOCUS" OR "COMPANY"), OR RIGHTS TO RECEIVE SUCH ADSs OR ADRs, (A) DURING THE PERIOD BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 AND AUGUST 28, 2019, INCLUSIVE ("SETTLEMENT CLASS PERIOD"), OR (B) PURSUANT OR TRACEABLE TO THE REGISTRATION STATEMENTS ON FORMS F-4 AND F-6 AND PROSPECTUS ISSUED IN CONNECTION WITH THE MERGER OF MICRO FOCUS AND THE SOFTWARE BUSINESS UNIT OF HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE COMPANY ("HPE") (OR THEIR SUBSIDIARIES) ("MERGER"), AND WHO ARE NOT OTHERWISE EXCLUDED THEREFROM ("SETTLEMENT CLASS" OR "SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS")

IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR A SETTLEMENT PAYMENT, YOU MUST TIMELY SUBMIT A PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM ("PROOF OF CLAIM") BY , 2023.

THIS NOTICE WAS AUTHORIZED BY THE COURT. IT IS NOT A LAWYER SOLICITATION. PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY.

WHY SHOULD I READ THIS NOTICE?

This Notice is given pursuant to an order issued by the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo (the "Court"). This Notice serves to inform you of the proposed global settlement of the above-captioned class action lawsuit and *In re Micro Focus International PLC Securities Litigation*, Master File No. 1:18-cv-06763-ALC (S.D.N.Y.) in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Settlement") and the hearing (the "Settlement Fairness Hearing") to be held by the Court to consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated January ____, 2023 (the "Stipulation"), by and between: Plaintiffs James Ragsdale, Cardella Family Irrevoc Trust U/A 06/17/15, Ian Green, James Gildea and Marilyn Clark ("Plaintiffs"), Iron Workers Local No. 25 Pension Fund ("Iron Workers" or "Federal Plaintiff"), on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class, and Defendants Micro Focus International plc ("Micro Focus"), Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company ("HPE"), Stephen Murdoch, Mike Phillips, Kevin Loosemore, Nils Brauckmann, Karen Slatford, Richard Atkins, Amanda Brown, Silke Scheiber, Darren Roos, Christopher Hsu, John Schultz, and Giselle Manon (collectively, "Defendants").

This Notice is intended to inform you how this lawsuit and proposed Settlement may affect your rights and what steps you may take in relation to it. This Notice is NOT an expression of any opinion by the Court as to the merits of the claims or defenses asserted in this or any other lawsuit or whether the Defendants engaged in any wrongdoing.

WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

I. THE ALLEGATIONS

Micro Focus is a multinational provider of software and information technology services. This Action alleges, among other things, that Defendants misrepresented and omitted material facts

¹ The Stipulation can be viewed or downloaded at www.microfocusclassaction.com. All capitalized terms used herein have the same meaning as the terms defined in the Stipulation.

1 in so 2 the cu 3 de De 4 co Sp

in the registration statements and prospectus associated with the merger of Micro Focus and the software business segment of HPE, which took place in September 2017. Plaintiffs have alleged that the allegedly misrepresented and omitted facts involved, among other issues, rising employee and customer attrition at HPE's software business segment, difficulties and delays associated with the development of an integrated IT system for the combined business, and sales execution issues. Defendants have denied, and continue to deny, all of Plaintiffs' allegations and claims – including the contentions described above – as well as any and all assertions of wrongdoing or liability of any kind. Specifically, Defendants deny that they have violated any aspects of the securities laws of the United States, and there has been no finding of liability or wrongdoing by, on the part of, or against, any Defendant.

6

THE COURT HAS NOT RULED AS TO WHETHER DEFENDANTS ARE LIABLE TO PLAINTIFFS OR THE SETTLEMENT CLASS. THIS NOTICE IS NOT INTENDED TO EXPRESS ANY OPINION BY THE COURT WITH RESPECT TO THE TRUTH OF THE ALLEGATIONS IN THIS OR ANY OTHER ACTION OR THE MERITS OF THE CLAIMS OR DEFENSES. THIS NOTICE IS SOLELY INTENDED TO ADVISE YOU OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF THIS CLASS ACTION AND YOUR RIGHTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE SETTLEMENT.

10

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

11

In March 2018, certain Plaintiffs filed the first of several related class actions in this Court, alleging that Defendants had violated the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"). In May 2018, those actions were consolidated; they are referred to herein together as the "Action."

13

Around that time, other plaintiffs filed substantially similar putative class actions in federal court in California and New York; those actions were later consolidated in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and are referred to herein as the "Federal Action." The Federal Action alleged claims under both the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act").

16

15

In December 2018, this Court entered a discretionary stay of this Action in favor of the Federal Action, which it later lifted in July 2020. Appellate proceedings ensued, culminating in a March 2021 decision that left the Court's decision to lift the stay intact.

18

17

In September 2020, the Federal Action was dismissed. While that decision was on appeal, the parties to the Federal Action pursued mediation. In March 2021, they reached an agreement in principle to resolve the claims asserted in the Federal Action for \$15 million. The Federal Plaintiff later agreed to dismiss its appeal, without prejudice to reinstatement, which subsequently occurred in August 2021.

21

20

The parties to this Action continued to litigate, and in May 2021, Plaintiffs requested the Court certify a class under the Securities Act. Meanwhile, in June 2021, the parties to the Federal Action entered into a stipulation of settlement, and the Federal Plaintiff sought preliminary approval of the proposed settlement of the Federal Action, which Plaintiffs opposed.

23

24

25

Subsequently, this Court upheld and dismissed certain claims against Defendants in this Action. In November 2021, as proceedings continued in the Federal Action, this Court granted Plaintiffs' motion to certify a class under the Securities Act (the "Certified Class"). Thereafter, Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions ("Epiq"), as the class notice administrator, engaged in efforts to disseminate notice to putative members of the Certified Class. You may previously have received a Notice of Pendency of Class Action as a result of those efforts.

27

28

In February 2022, the Federal Court denied preliminary approval of the June 2021 proposed settlement of the Federal Action, on a without prejudice basis, on procedural grounds. The Federal

Plaintiff then moved to vacate the judgment of dismissal of the Federal Action with the intention of refiling a motion for preliminary approval of that proposed settlement if vacatur were granted. Plaintiffs opposed the motion to vacate, and briefing in the Federal Action concluded in May 2022. That motion remains undecided, and thus the June 2021 proposed settlement in the Federal Court action has not received court approval.

At the same time, Plaintiffs continued to conduct discovery in this Action, ultimately receiving millions of pages of documents from Defendants and third parties and conducting 21 depositions of fact witnesses through December 2022. Additionally, in August 2022, Plaintiffs and Defendants attempted to resolve this Action through mediation before Layn R. Phillips, a retired federal judge, but those efforts were unsuccessful.

In December 2022, a second mediation took place before Judge Phillips between Plaintiffs and Defendants. Despite efforts to broker a resolution of the Action during this extended mediation session, they were unable to reach an agreement. However, the parties acknowledged that they were close to reaching an agreement and agreed to a limited stay of the Action while they continued working with Judge Phillips and the Federal Plaintiff to attempt to reach a global resolution of both this Action and the Federal Action. In culmination of those efforts, Judge Phillips issued a triple blind, time-limited settlement proposal to the parties to the Action and the Federal Action on December 15, 2022, which all sides ultimately accepted.

Subsequently, the Federal Court agreed to stay proceedings in the Federal Action pending the outcome of a request to this Court to approve the Settlement. The Parties then negotiated and signed the Stipulation to formally memorialize the terms of the Settlement, including the Settlement Amount of \$107.5 million in cash. The Settlement is to be paid from insurance coverage.

HOW DO I KNOW IF I AM A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER?

If you received Micro Focus ADSs or ADRs in connection with the September 2017 Merger, or otherwise purchased or acquired ADSs or ADRs, or the right to receive such ADSs or ADRs, between September 1, 2017 and August 28, 2019, inclusive, you are a Settlement Class Member. As set forth in the Stipulation, excluded from the Settlement Class are: Defendants and members of their immediate families, the officers and directors of Micro Focus and HPE and members of their immediate families, and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns, and any entity in which Defendants have a controlling interest. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those who appropriately request exclusion from the Settlement Class, as explained below.

PLEASE NOTE: Receipt of this Notice does not mean that you are a Settlement Class Member or that you will be entitled to receive a payment from the Settlement. If you are a Settlement Class Member and you wish to be eligible to participate in the distribution of proceeds from the Settlement, you are required to submit the Proof of Claim form distributed with this Notice and the required supporting documentation as set forth therein postmarked or submitted online on or before , 2023.

WHAT IS THE MONETARY VALUE OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

The Settlement, if approved, will result in the creation of a cash settlement fund of \$107.5 million (the "Settlement Fund"). The Settlement Fund, plus accrued interest and minus the costs of this Notice and all costs associated with administering the Settlement Fund, as well as any award of attorneys' fees and expenses, and the payment of any awards to Plaintiffs or the Federal Plaintiff for their efforts in representing the Settlement Class, as approved by the Court (the "Net Settlement Fund"), will be distributed to eligible Settlement Class Members pursuant to the Plan of Allocation described in the next section of this Notice.

WHAT IS THE PROPOSED PLAN OF ALLOCATION?

The objective of the Plan of Allocation is to equitably distribute the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members based on their respective alleged economic losses resulting from the securities law violations addressed in the Settlement.

The Claims Administrator shall determine each Settlement Class Member's share of the Net Settlement Fund based upon the recognized loss formula (the "Recognized Loss") described below. A Recognized Loss will be calculated for each ADS/ADR covered by the Plan of Allocation. The calculation of Recognized Loss will depend upon several factors, including when Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs were purchased or otherwise acquired and in what amounts, as well as whether those securities were ever sold, and, if so, when they were sold and for what amounts.

The Recognized Loss is not intended to estimate the amount a Settlement Class Member might have been able to recover after a trial, nor to estimate the amount that will be paid to Settlement Class Members pursuant to the Settlement. Rather, the Recognized Loss is the basis upon which the Net Settlement Fund will be proportionately allocated to Settlement Class Members.

Your share of the Net Settlement Fund will depend on the number of valid Proof of Claim forms that Settlement Class Members send in, as well as the factors described above. For this reason, the calculation of claims below is not an estimate of the amount you will receive. It is a formula for allocating the Net Settlement Fund among all Authorized Claimants. Furthermore, if any of the formulas set forth below yield an amount less than \$0.00, the claim per share is \$0.00.

ALLOCATION

The total amount of the Settlement is \$107.5 million. A total of \$100 million of the Settlement Amount will be used to cover alleged statutory losses arising under the Securities Act (the "Securities Act Allocation"). The remaining \$7.5 million of the Settlement Amount will be used to cover alleged losses arising under the Exchange Act, which claims were alleged solely in the Federal Action (the "Exchange Act Allocation"). Because the Exchange Act Allocation is designed to cover Exchange Act losses not already covered by the Securities Act Allocation, Settlement Class Members, if eligible, may receive a payment under both the Securities Act Allocation and the Exchange Act Allocation.

In Plaintiffs' view, the Plan of Allocation reflects the relative strengths and weaknesses of the claims covered by the Settlement, as well as the procedural posture of the claims when the Settlement was reached. In developing the Plan of Allocation in consultation with their retained financial expert, Plaintiffs acknowledged that when the Settlement was reached, fact discovery was nearly complete and further proceedings were scheduled in this Action. Plaintiffs also acknowledged that the Federal Court had dismissed with prejudice all of the claims alleged in the Federal Action, which was on appeal and also involved claims arising under the Exchange Act that are subject to a more stringent standard of pleading and proof of liability.

This "Allocation" section of this Notice has been prepared by Plaintiffs in consultation with the Federal Plaintiff. Defendants take no position on the Plan of Allocation or the views expressed by Plaintiffs above or below. Defendants have denied, and continued to deny, that any of the claims asserted in this Action or the Federal Action have or had merit or that any investor suffered compensable losses (including the alleged "artificial inflation" and "Loss Amounts" calculated by Plaintiffs below). Defendants took no part in preparing the Plan of Allocation as set forth herein (and were not required to) and consequently neither the Plan nor Plaintiffs' statements regarding it should be construed as any indication of Defendants' views regarding these issues or any endorsement of the views expressed herein by Plaintiffs.

1 **Securities Act Allocation – Loss Amount** 2 For each Micro Focus ADS/ADR purchased/acquired from September 1, 2017, through March 28, 2018,² and: 3 a. sold prior to March 29, 2018, the Securities Act Loss Amount is the purchase 4 price per ADS (not to exceed \$29.15) minus the sales price per ADS/ADR: 5 b. sold from March 29, 2018, through August 28, 2019, the Securities Act Loss Amount is *the lesser of*: 6 i. the purchase price per ADS (not to exceed \$29.15) less the sales price per 7 ADS/ADR, or 8 ii. the purchase price per ADS/ADR (not to exceed \$29.15) less \$14.14 per 9 ADS: 10 c. retained at the end of August 28, 2019, the Securities Act Loss Amount is the 11 purchase price per ADS (not to exceed \$29.15) minus \$14.14 per ADS/ADR. 12 **Exchange Act Allocation – Loss Amount** 13 The Exchange Act Plan of Allocation is based on the following five market adjusted price declines: 14 January 8, 2018: \$5.82 per ADS/ADR March 19, 2018 \$11.88 per ADS/ADR 15 \$1.25 per ADS/ADR July 11, 2018: July 9, 2019: \$1.79 per ADS/ADR 16 \$6.14 per ADS/ADR August 29, 2019: 17 For each Micro Focus ADS purchased from September 1, 2017 through August 28, 2019, and: 18 1. sold before January 8, 2018, the Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount is zero; 19 2. sold on or after January 8, 2018 through August 28, 2019, the Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount is the lesser of: (i) the amount of artificial inflation per ADS/ADR on the date 20 of purchase as stated in Table A less the amount of artificial inflation per ADS/ADR on the date of sale as stated in Table A; or (ii) the purchase price per ADS/ADR less the sale price 21 per ADS/ADR; 22 3. sold from August 29, 2019 through November 26, 2019, the Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount is the least of: (i) the amount of artificial inflation per ADS/ADR on the date of 23 purchase as stated in Table A; (ii) the purchase price per ADS/ADR less the sale price per

24

25

26

27

28

² Each Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs received in the Merger is assumed to have been purchased/acquired on September 1, 2017, the closing date of the Merger, at \$29.15.

August 29, 2019 and the date of sale as stated in Table B below; or

ADS/ADR; or (iii) the purchase price per ADS/ADR less the average closing price between

4. held at the close of trading on November 26, 2019, the Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount is equal to **the lesser of:** (i) the amount of artificial inflation per ADS/ADR on the date of purchase as stated in Table A; or (ii) the purchase price per ADS *less* \$13.73.³

For each Micro Focus ADS/ADR with both an Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount and a Securities Act Loss Amount, the Exchange Act Loss Amount is equal to the Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount *less* the Securities Act Loss Amount. If the Exchange Act Loss Amount is less than or equal to zero, it shall be set to zero. For each Micro Focus ADS/ADR with an Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount with no corresponding Securities Act Loss Amount, the Exchange Act Loss Amount is equal to the Exchange Act Recognized Loss Amount.

TABLE A:

Estimated Artificial Inflation with Respect to Publicly-Traded Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs from September 1, 2017 through and including August 28, 2019

Date Range	Artificial Inflation Per ADS/ADR
September 1, 2017 – January 7, 2018	\$26.88
January 8, 2018 – March 18, 2018	\$21.06
March 19, 2018 – July 10, 2018	\$9.18
July 11, 2018 – July 8, 2019	\$7.93
July 9, 2019 – August 28, 2019	\$6.14

³ Pursuant to Section 21(D)(e)(1) of the Exchange Act, "in any private action arising under this title in which the plaintiff seeks to establish damages by reference to the market price of a security, the award of damages to the plaintiff shall not exceed the difference between the purchase or sale price paid or received, as appropriate, by the plaintiff for the subject security and the mean trading price of that security during the 90-day period beginning on the date on which the information correcting the misstatement or omission that is the basis for the action is disseminated to the market." The average (mean) closing price of Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs during the 90-day look-back period from August 29, 2019 through and including November 26, 2019 was \$13.73.

TABLE B:

20

21

23

24

26

27

28

2			Average Closing Price			Average Closing Price
-			from August 29, 2019			from August 29, 2019
3	Date	Closing Price	through Date of Sale	Date	Closing Price	through Date of Sale
ا ا		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Š
4	8/29/2019	\$12.98	\$12.98	10/15/2019	\$13.87	\$13.64
7	8/30/2019	\$13.80	\$13.39	10/16/2019	\$14.50	\$13.67
5	9/3/2019	\$13.29	\$13.36	10/17/2019	\$14.55	\$13.69
7	9/4/2019	\$13.42	\$13.37	10/18/2019	\$15.17	\$13.74
6	9/5/2019	\$13.30	\$13.36	10/21/2019	\$13.03	\$13.72
١	9/6/2019	\$13.30	\$13.35	10/22/2019	\$13.01	\$13.70
7	9/9/2019	\$13.27	\$13.34	10/23/2019	\$13.03	\$13.68
′	9/10/2019	\$13.88	\$13.41	10/24/2019	\$13.51	\$13.68
8	9/11/2019	\$13.74	\$13.44	10/25/2019	\$13.61	\$13.68
0	9/12/2019	\$13.84	\$13.48	10/28/2019	\$13.85	\$13.68
9	9/13/2019	\$13.92	\$13.52	10/29/2019	\$13.80	\$13.68
9	9/16/2019	\$14.21	\$13.58	10/30/2019	\$13.84	\$13.69
10	9/17/2019	\$14.41	\$13.64	10/31/2019	\$13.72	\$13.69
10	9/18/2019	\$14.56	\$13.71	11/1/2019	\$13.37	\$13.68
1.1	9/19/2019	\$14.66	\$13.77	11/4/2019	\$13.52	\$13.68
11	9/20/2019	\$14.46	\$13.82	11/5/2019	\$13.55	\$13.67
1.0	9/23/2019	\$14.03	\$13.83	11/6/2019	\$13.62	\$13.67
12	9/24/2019	\$13.82	\$13.83	11/7/2019	\$13.73	\$13.67
	9/25/2019	\$13.73	\$13.82	11/8/2019	\$13.94	\$13.68
13	9/26/2019	\$13.85	\$13.82	11/11/2019	\$14.03	\$13.69
	9/27/2019	\$13.99	\$13.83	11/12/2019	\$14.18	\$13.69
14	9/30/2019	\$14.15	\$13.85	11/13/2019	\$13.57	\$13.69
	10/1/2019	\$13.41	\$13.83	11/14/2019	\$13.62	\$13.69
15	10/2/2019	\$13.05	\$13.79	11/15/2019	\$13.72	\$13.69
	10/3/2019	\$12.97	\$13.76	11/18/2019	\$13.55	\$13.69
16	10/4/2019	\$13.19	\$13.74	11/19/2019	\$13.53	\$13.69
	10/7/2019	\$12.85	\$13.71	11/20/2019	\$14.06	\$13.69
17	10/8/2019	\$13.02	\$13.68	11/21/2019	\$13.98	\$13.70
	10/9/2019	\$13.01	\$13.66	11/22/2019	\$14.09	\$13.70
18	10/10/2019	\$13.22	\$13.64	11/25/2019	\$14.26	\$13.71
	10/11/2019	\$13.67	\$13.65	11/26/2019	\$14.56	\$13.73
19	10/14/2019	\$13.40	\$13.64			

A Claimant's "Recognized Claim" will be the sum of the Claimant's Securities Act Loss Amounts and Exchange Act Loss Amounts as calculated above with respect to Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs. If a Class Member made more than one purchase/acquisition or sale of Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs during the Settlement Class Period, all purchases/acquisitions and sales of the ADSs/ADRs will be matched on a First In, First Out ("FIFO") basis. Settlement Class Period sales will be matched against purchases/acquisitions of Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs in chronological order, beginning with the earliest purchase/acquisition made during the Settlement Class Period.

The total of all profits shall be subtracted from the total of all losses from transactions during the Settlement Class Period to determine if a Settlement Class Member has a Recognized Claim. Only if a Settlement Class Member had a net market loss, after all profits from transactions in ADSs/ADRs during the Settlement Class Period are subtracted from all losses, will such Settlement Class Member be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund.

If an Authorized Claimant has an overall market gain, the Recognized Claim for that Authorized Claimant will be \$0.00. If an Authorized Claimant has an overall market loss, that Authorized Claimant's Recognized Claim will be limited to the amount of total market loss. The Claims Administrator shall allocate to each Authorized Claimant a pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund based on his, her, or its Recognized Claim as compared to the total Recognized Claims of all Authorized Claimants. No distribution shall be made to Authorized Claimants who would otherwise receive a distribution of less than \$10.00.

3

Distributions will be made to Authorized Claimants after all claims have been processed, after the Court has finally approved the Settlement, and after any appeals are resolved. If any balance remained in the Net Settlement Fund after a reasonable amount of time from the initial date of distribution of the Net Settlement Fund (whether by reason of tax refunds, uncashed checks, or otherwise), the Claims Administrator shall, if feasible, reallocate such balance among Authorized Claimants in an equitable and economic fashion. These redistributions shall be repeated until the balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund is no longer economically feasible to distribute to Settlement Class Members. Thereafter, any balance which still remains in the Net Settlement Fund shall be donated to the Bay Area Legal Aid.

Please contact the Claims Administrator or Plaintiffs' Counsel if you disagree with any determinations made by the Claims Administrator regarding your Proof of Claim. If you are dissatisfied with the determinations, you may ask the Court, which retains jurisdiction over all Settlement Class Members and the claims administration process, to decide the issue by submitting a written request. The Court has also reserved jurisdiction to allow, disallow, or adjust the claim of any Settlement Class Member on equitable grounds.

11 12

Payment pursuant to the Plan of Allocation set forth above shall be conclusive against all Authorized Claimants. No Person shall have any claim against Plaintiffs, the Federal Plaintiff, Plaintiffs' Counsel, any Claims Administrator, any other Person designated by Plaintiffs' Counsel, or any of the Released Parties (which includes all Defendants) based on or concerning distributions made substantially in accordance with the Stipulation and the Settlement contained therein, the Plan of Allocation, or further orders of the Court. Defendants bear no liability whatsoever for, and have no role in, the administration of the Settlement, the determination of the amounts to be paid to Settlement Class Members, or the actual distribution of same. All Settlement Class Members who fail to complete and submit a valid and timely Proof of Claim shall be barred from participating in distributions from the Net Settlement Fund (unless otherwise ordered by the Court), but otherwise shall be bound by all of the terms of the Stipulation, including the terms of any judgment entered and the releases given.

18

19

16

17

DO I NEED TO CONTACT PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN **DISTRIBUTION OF THE SETTLEMENT FUND?**

20

21

No. If you have received this Notice and timely submit your Proof of Claim to the designated address, you need not contact Plaintiffs' Counsel. If your address changes, please contact the Claims Administrator at:

22

23

Micro Focus Securities Litigation Settlement c/o Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions P.O. Box 5459 Portland, OR 97228-5459 Telephone: 855/604-1743 Email: info@microfocusclassaction.com

24

www.microfocusclassaction.com

25 26

THERE WILL BE NO PAYMENTS IF THE STIPULATION IS TERMINATED

27

28

The Stipulation may be terminated under several circumstances outlined in it. If the Stipulation is terminated, the Action will proceed as if the Stipulation had not been entered into.

WHAT ARE THE REASONS FOR SETTLEMENT?

The Settlement was reached after highly contested motion practice directed to numerous issues, including addressing the forum for litigating Plaintiffs' claims and the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' claims as a legal matter. The parties to this Action also litigated a contested motion for class certification, substantially completed fact discovery, and participated in two mediations before a retired federal judge who served as the mediator. Notwithstanding that, the Court to date has not reached or issued any final decisions in connection with Plaintiffs' claims against Defendants. As of the date of the Settlement, those claims and allegations remain just that; no verdict, judgment or decision has been reached as to the merits of those claims against any Defendant. Additionally, litigation was proceeding in the Federal Court, which had dismissed the Federal Plaintiff's claims against Defendants. Consequently, there has been no finding of liability or wrongdoing of any kind by or against any Defendant, and no finding that any Defendant violated any law, in any jurisdiction, including any of the securities laws of the United States. Moreover, this Settlement does not, and shall not, constitute evidence of any admission or concession of wrongdoing or a violation of any law, by or on the part of any Defendant.

The Parties have agreed to resolve these claims in the Settlement in order to avoid the cost, delay and uncertainty of further litigation. As in any litigation, Plaintiffs and the proposed Settlement Class would face an uncertain outcome if they did not agree to the Settlement. The parties expected that the case could continue for a lengthy period of time and that if Plaintiffs succeeded, Defendants would file appeals that would postpone final resolution of the case. While continuing the Action against Defendants could result in a judgment greater than this Settlement, continuing to litigate these claims could result in no recovery at all, were Defendants to prevail at trial, or in a recovery below the amount of the Settlement.

The Parties believe that this Settlement is fair and reasonable to the members of the Settlement Class. The Settlement provides a certain and immediate monetary recovery to the Settlement Class while avoiding the risk, delay, and uncertainty of continued litigation. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel believe the Settlement represents a very favorable result for the Settlement Class.

WHO REPRESENTS THE CLASS?

The following attorneys, along with attorneys from Scott+Scott Attorneys At Law LLP, are counsel for the Certified and Settlement Class ("Class Counsel"), and are available to answer any questions you may have about the Action or the Settlement:

Joseph Russello

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP

58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
Telephone: 631/367-7100

Mark C. Molumphy, Esq.
COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY,
LLP

840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: 650/697-6000

You may also obtain a copy of the Stipulation and other documents relating to the Settlement by contacting the Claims Administrator or visiting the website established for this Settlement:

Micro Focus Securities Litigation Settlement c/o Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions P.O. Box 5459 Portland, OR 97228-5459 Telephone: 855/604-1743 Email: info@microfocusclassaction.com

HOW WILL THE PLAINTIFFS' LAWYERS BE PAID?

www.microfocusclassaction.com

6

5

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

26

27 28

Class Counsel will file a motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses/charges ("expenses"), which the Court will consider at the Settlement Fairness Hearing, on behalf of all Plaintiffs' Counsel. Class Counsel will apply for an attorneys' fee award for Plaintiffs' Counsel in an amount of up to one-third of the Settlement Fund, plus payment of Plaintiffs' Counsel's expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1.5 million. In addition, each of Plaintiffs and the Federal Plaintiff may seek awards of up to \$15,000 in connection with their efforts in representing the Certified and Settlement Class. Such sums as may be approved by the Court will be paid from the Settlement Fund. Settlement Class Members are not personally liable for any such fees or expenses.

To date, Plaintiffs' Counsel have not received any compensation for their efforts, nor have they received any payment for the expenses or charges they have incurred in pursuing the claims. The attorneys' fees and expenses requested will be the only payment to Plaintiffs' Counsel for their efforts in achieving this Settlement and for their risk in undertaking this representation on a wholly contingent basis. The Court will decide what constitutes a reasonable fee award and may, in its discretion, award less than the amount requested by Plaintiffs' Counsel.

CAN I EXCLUDE MYSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT?

If you do not want to receive a payment from this Settlement or you want to keep the right to sue or continue to sue Defendants on your own about the legal issues in this case, then you must take steps to get out of the Settlement Class. This is called excluding yourself from, or "opting out" of, the Settlement Class. If you are requesting exclusion because you want to bring your own lawsuit based on the claims covered by the Settlement, you may want to consult an attorney to discuss whether any individual claim you may wish to pursue would be time-barred by the applicable statutes of limitation or repose.

To exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you must send a signed letter by mail saying that you want to be excluded from the Settlement Class in the Action: In re Micro Focus International plc Securities Litigation, Lead Case No. 18CIV01549. Be sure to include your name, address, telephone number, and the date(s), price(s), and number(s) of shares of the ADSs/ADRs that you purchased or acquired during the Settlement Class Period (September 1, 2017 through, and including, August 28, 2019). Your exclusion request must be **postmarked no later than** , 2023, and sent to the Claims Administrator at:

> Micro Focus Securities Litigation Settlement Claims Administrator **EXCLUSIONS** c/o Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions P.O. Box 5459 Portland, OR 97228-5459

You cannot exclude yourself by phone or by e-mail. If you make a proper request for exclusion, you will not receive a payment from the Settlement and you cannot object to the Settlement. If you make a proper request for exclusion, you will not be legally bound by anything that happens in this lawsuit.

2

IF YOU SUBMITTED A REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION IN CONNECTION WITH THE NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION THAT YOU RECEIVED LAST YEAR, YOU NEED NOT DO SO AGAIN.

4

IF YOU DID NOT SUBMIT A REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION IN CONNECTION WITH THE NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION THAT YOU RECEIVED LAST YEAR, AND THE SETTLEMENT IS NOT APPROVED, THERE IS NO SECOND OPPORTUNITY TO EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE CERTIFIED CLASS AND A REQUEST TO EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS WILL NOT EXCLUDE YOU FROM THE CERTIFIED CLASS.

7

8

CAN I OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT, THE REQUESTED ATTORNEYS' FEES, THE REQUESTED PAYMENT OF COSTS AND EXPENSES AND/OR THE PLAN OF ALLOCATION?

9

10

13

and Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200, Burlingame, CA 94010, c/o Mark C. Molumphy, and Defendants' Counsel's address is Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, Worldwide Plaza, 825 Eighth Avenue, New York, NY 10019 c/o Timothy G. Cameron. Attendance at the Settlement Fairness Hearing is not necessary; however, persons wishing to be heard orally at the Settlement Fairness Hearing are required to indicate in their written objection their intention to appear at the hearing and to identify any witnesses they may call to testify and exhibits, if any, they intend to introduce at that time.

17 18

WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OBJECTING AND EXCLUDING MYSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT?

19

20

Objecting is telling the Court that you do not like something about the proposed Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, Plaintiffs' Counsel's request for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, or any requested award to Plaintiffs or the Federal Plaintiff. You can object only if you did not exclude yourself from the Certified Class and you stay in the Settlement Class. Excluding yourself is telling the Court that you do not want to be part of the Settlement Class. If you properly exclude yourself, you have no basis to object because the case no longer applies to you.

23

WHAT ARE MY RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE SETTLEMENT?

24

25

If you are a Settlement Class Member and you do not exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you may receive the benefit of, and you will be bound by, the terms of the Settlement described in this Notice, upon approval by the Court.

26

HOW CAN I GET A PAYMENT?

27

28

To qualify for a payment, you must timely complete and return the Proof of Claim form that accompanies this Notice. A Proof of Claim is enclosed with this Notice and may be downloaded at

25

26

27

28

WHAT CLAIMS WILL BE RELEASED BY THE SETTLEMENT?

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, the Court will enter a Final Judgment. If the Final Judgment becomes effective pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, all Certified Class Members and Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged any and all of the Released Parties from all Settled Claims.

- "Related Parties" means each of a Settling Party's past, present or future direct or indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates or joint ventures, as well as each of their respective present or former directors, officers, employees, partners, members, principals, agents, underwriters, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, controlling shareholders, attorneys, accountants, auditors, financial or investment advisors or consultants, banks or investment bankers, personal or legal representatives, predecessors, successors, assigns, spouses, heirs, related or affiliated entities, any entity in which a Settling Party has a controlling interest, any member of a Settling Party's immediate family, any trust of which any Settling Party is the settlor or which is for the benefit of any Settling Party and/or member(s) of his family, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors in interest or assigns of the foregoing Persons.
- "Released Parties" means Defendants and each and all of their Related Parties.
- "Released Claims" means any and all rights, liabilities, suits, debts, obligations, demands, damages, losses, judgment matters, issues, claims (including "Unknown Claims" as defined below), and causes of action of every nature and description whatsoever that have been or could have been asserted in the Action or the Federal Action or could in the future be asserted in any forum, whether known or unknown, whether foreign or domestic, whether arising under federal, state, common, or foreign law, by Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, any Settlement Class Member, or their Related Parties, whether individual, class, representative, on behalf of others, legal, equitable, regulatory, governmental, or of any other type or in any other capacity, whether brought directly or indirectly against any of the Defendants, that (i) arise out of, are based upon, or relate to in any way to any of the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, disclosures, statements, representations, or omissions which were or could have been alleged in the Action or the Federal Action, and (ii) arise out of, or are based upon, or relate to the purchase, acquisition, holding, sale, or disposition of ADSs or ADRs of Micro Focus between September 1, 2017 and August 28, 2019, inclusive. Notwithstanding the foregoing, "Released Claims" do not include any derivative or ERISA claims. "Released Claims" also do not include claims to enforce this Stipulation or claims by Defendants for or regarding insurance coverage.

23

24

25

26

27

28

• "Unknown Claims" means any and all claims and potential claims against Defendants that Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, or any Settlement Class Member does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor as of the Effective Date, and any claims against Plaintiffs or Federal Plaintiff that Defendants do not know or suspect to exist in their favor, which if known by him, her, or it might have affected his, her, or its decision(s) with respect to the Settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims, the Parties stipulate and agree that by operation of the Final Judgment, upon the Effective Date, the Parties shall have expressly waived, and each Settlement Class Member shall be deemed to have waived, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have expressly waived, the provisions, rights and benefits of Cal. Civ. Code § 1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY;

and any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to Cal. Civ. Code § 1542. A Releasing Party may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she, or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but shall expressly fully, finally, and forever settle and release, and each Settlement Class Member, upon the Effective Date, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released, any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or noncontingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. The Parties acknowledge, and Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the inclusion of "Unknown Claims" in the definition of Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims was separately bargained for and was a key element of the Settlement.

The above description of the proposed Settlement is only a summary. The complete terms of the Settlement are set forth in the Stipulation (including its exhibits), which may be obtained at www.microfocusclassaction.com, or by contacting Plaintiffs' Counsel, as indicated above.

THE SETTLEMENT FAIRNESS HEARING

The Court will hold a Settlement Fairness Hearing on ______, 2023, at _:___.m., before the Honorable Marie S. Weiner at the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo, Department 2, Courtroom 2E, 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of determining whether: (1) the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation for \$107.5 million in cash should be approved as fair, reasonable and adequate; (2) the Settlement Class should be finally certified, for Settlement purposes; (3) the Final Judgment as provided under the Stipulation should be entered;

- 1						
1	(4) to award Plaintiffs' Counsel attorneys' fees and expenses out of the Settlement Fund; (5) to grant awards to Plaintiffs and the Federal Plaintiff, in connection with their efforts in representing the					
2 3	Settlement Class, out of the Settlement Fund; and (6) the Plan of Allocation should be approved. The Court may adjourn or continue the Settlement Fairness Hearing without further notice to members of the Settlement Class.					
4	Any Settlement Class Member may appear at the Settlement Fairness Hearing and be heard					
5	on any of the foregoing matters; provided, however, that no such person shall be heard unless his her, or its objection is made in writing and is filed, together with proof of membership in the Settlement Class and with copies of all other papers and briefs to be submitted by him, her, or it to					
6	the Court at the Settlement Fairness Hearing, with the Court no later than, 2023, and showing proof of service on the following counsel:					
7						
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs: Counsel for Defendant Micro Focus International plc and Other Defendants:					
9	Joseph Russello ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN Timothy G. Cameron					
10	& DOWD LLP CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE LLP 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Worldwide Plaza					
11	Melville, NY 11747 825 Eighth Avenue Telephone: 631/367-7100 New York, NY 10019					
12	Telephone: (212) 474-1000 —and—					
13	Mark C. Molumphy COTCHETT, PITRE &					
14	McCARTHY, LLP					
15	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: 650/697-6000					
16						
17 18	Unless otherwise directed by the Court, any Settlement Class Member who does not make his her or its objection in the manner provided shall be deemed to have waived all objections to this Settlement and shall be foreclosed from raising (in this proceeding or on any appeal), any objection and any untimely objection shall be barred.					
19 20	If you hire an attorney (at your own expense) to represent you for purposes of objecting, your attorney must serve a notice of appearance on counsel listed above and file it with the Court (at the address set out above) by no later than, 2023.					
21	HOW DO I OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION?					
22	This Notice contains only a summary of the terms of the proposed Settlement. The records in					
23	this Action may be examined and copied at any time during regular office hours, and subject to customary copying fees, at the Clerk of the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo. In					
	addition, all of the Settlement documents, including the Stipulation, this Notice, the Proof of Claim					
24	form and proposed Final Judgment, may be obtained by contacting the Claims Administrator, or visiting the website established for this Settlement, at:					
25						
26						
27						
28						
	- 15 -					
	EXHIBIT A-1 - NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION					

Micro Focus Securities Litigation Settlement 1 c/o Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions 2 P.O. Box 5459 Portland OR 97228-5459 3 Telephone: 855/604-1743 Email: info@microfocusclassaction.com 4 www.microfocusclassaction.com 5 In addition, if you have any questions about the Action or the Settlement, you may contact the following attorneys for Plaintiffs designated to receive such inquiries: Joseph Russello, Robbins 6 Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP, 58 South Service Road, Suite 200, Melville, NY 11747; Mark C. Molumphy, Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP, 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200, Burlingame, CA 94010. 7 DO NOT WRITE TO OR TELEPHONE THE COURT FOR INFORMATION 8 SPECIAL NOTICE TO BANKS, BROKERS, AND OTHER NOMINEES 9 If you hold any Micro Focus ADSs/ADRs purchased or acquired between September 1, 2017 and August 28, 2019, inclusive, as a nominee for a beneficial owner, then, within fourteen (14) days after you receive this Notice, you must either: (1) send a copy of this Notice by First-Class Mail to 11 all such Persons; or (2) provide a list of the names and addresses of such Persons to the Claims Administrator: 12 Micro Focus Securities Litigation Settlement 13 c/o Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions P.O. Box 5459 14 Portland OR 97228-5459 www.microfocusclassaction.com 15 If you choose to mail the Notice and Proof of Claim yourself, you may obtain from the Claims 16 Administrator (without cost to you) as many additional copies of these documents as you will need to complete the mailing. 17 Regardless of whether you choose to complete the mailing yourself or elect to have the mailing 18 performed for you, you may obtain reimbursement for or advancement of reasonable administrative costs actually incurred or expected to be incurred in connection with forwarding the Notice and which 19 would not have been incurred but for the obligation to forward the Notice, upon submission of appropriate documentation to the Claims Administrator. 20 21 DATED: ____ BY ORDER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO 22 HONORABLE MARIE S. WEINER 23 24 25 26 27

EXHIBIT A-2

1		
2	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	
3	JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-8498	
4	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)	
5	jamesj@rgrdlaw.com	
6	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
7	MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009) TYSON REDENBARGER (294424) San Francisco Airport Office Center	JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605) JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933) 600 West Broadway, Suite 3300
8	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/233-4565
9	Telephone: 650/697-6000 650/697-0577 (fax)	619/233-0508 (fax) jjasnoch@scott-scott.com
10	mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com	jpettigrew@scott-scott.com
11	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs	
12	Class Counsel for Flaminis	
13	STIDED FOR COLUDA OF A	THE STATE OF CALLEODNIA
14		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15		OF SAN MATEO
16	In re MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION) Lead Case No. 18CIV01549
17) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
18	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-2 - PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE
19	ALL ACTIONS.	Assigned for All Purposes to:
20		Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2 Date Action Filed: 03/28/18
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	EXHIBIT A-2 - PROO	F OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

II. CLAIMANT IDENTIFICATION

If you purchased or acquired Micro Focus International plc ("Micro Focus" or the "Company") American Depositary Shares or American Depositary Receipts (collectively or individually, "ADSs"), or rights to receive such ADSs (i) during the period from September 1, 2017 through August 28, 2019, inclusive, or (ii) pursuant or traceable to the Company's merger with Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company (or their subsidiaries) (the "Merger"), and held the certificate(s) in your name, you are the beneficial purchaser as well as the record purchaser. If, however, you purchased or acquired the Micro Focus ADSs during the period from September 1, 2017 through August 28, 2019, inclusive, or pursuant or traceable to the Merger, and the certificate(s) were registered in the name of a third party, such as a nominee or brokerage firm, you are the beneficial purchaser and the third party is the record purchaser.

Use Part I of this form entitled "Claimant Identification" to identify each purchaser of record ("nominee"), if different from the beneficial purchaser of the Micro Focus ADSs that form the basis of this claim. THIS CLAIM MUST BE FILED BY THE ACTUAL BENEFICIAL PURCHASER(S) OR ACQUIRER(S) OR THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF SUCH PURCHASER(S) OR ACQUIRER(S) OF THE MICRO FOCUS ADSs UPON WHICH THIS CLAIM IS BASED.

All joint purchasers or acquirers must sign this claim. Executors, administrators, guardians, conservators, and trustees must complete and sign this claim on behalf of persons represented by them and their authority must accompany this claim and their titles or capacities must be stated. The Social Security (or taxpayer identification) number and telephone number of the beneficial owner may be used in verifying the claim. Failure to provide the foregoing information could delay verification of your claim or result in rejection of the claim.

III. CLAIM FORM

Use Part II of this form entitled "Schedule of Transactions in Micro Focus ADSs" to supply all required details of your transaction(s). If you need more space or additional schedules, attach separate sheets giving all of the required information in substantially the same form. Sign and print or type your name on each additional sheet.

On the schedules, provide all of the requested information with respect to all of your purchases, acquisitions, and sales of Micro Focus ADSs that took place between September 1, 2017 and August 28, 2019, inclusive, including any ADSs purchased or otherwise acquired pursuant or traceable to the Merger, whether such transactions resulted in a profit or a loss. You must also provide all of the requested information with respect to all of the shares of Micro Focus ADSs you held at the close of trading on August 28, 2019 and November 26, 2019. Failure to report all such transactions may result in the rejection of your claim.

List each transaction separately and in chronological order, by trade date, beginning with the earliest. You must accurately provide the month, day, and year of each transaction you list.

The date of covering a "short sale" is deemed to be the date of purchase of Micro Focus ADSs. The date of a "short sale" is deemed to be the date of sale of Micro Focus ADSs.

COPIES OF BROKER CONFIRMATIONS OR OTHER DOCUMENTATION OF YOUR TRANSACTIONS IN MICRO FOCUS ADS SHOULD BE ATTACHED TO YOUR CLAIM. FAILURE TO PROVIDE THIS DOCUMENTATION COULD DELAY VERIFICATION OF YOUR CLAIM OR RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR CLAIM.

NOTICE REGARDING ELECTRONIC FILES: Certain claimants with large numbers of transactions may request, or may be requested, to submit information regarding their transactions in electronic files. All claimants MUST submit a manually signed paper Proof of Claim whether or not they also submit electronic copies. If you wish to file your claim electronically, you must contact the Claims Administrator at 1-800-601-7495 to obtain the required file layout. No electronic files will be considered to have been properly submitted unless the Claims Administrator issues to the claimant a written acknowledgment of receipt and acceptance of electronically submitted data.

24

27

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SAN MATEO** In re Micro Focus International plc Securities Litigation Lead Case No. 18CIV01549 PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE Must Be Postmarked (if Mailed) or Received (if Submitted Online) No Later Than: , 2023 Please Type or Print REMEMBER TO ATTACH COPIES OF BROKER CONFIRMATIONS OR OTHER DOCUMENTATION OF YOUR TRANSACTIONS IN MICRO FOCUS ADSs. FAILURE TO PROVIDE THIS DOCUMENTATION COULD DELAY VERIFICATION OF YOUR CLAIM OR RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR CLAIM. - 5 -EXHIBIT A-2 - PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

	RT I:	O				ICA	IION					_	M.I.		First	Na	me								
Last Name	(Co-E	Benefi	cial C	Owne	r)		_		_	_	_	,	M.I.		First	Na	me (Co-l	3ene	eficia	al O	wner	r)		
	Ш		\perp																						
O IRA Company N	Jama		loint			f Cla	iman	O E	mplo	yee Indi	vidus	l) or			divid			n ID		0	ther	(s	spec	ify)	_
Company		(Den	Jilolai					10 11		I	Vidue	, 0.	Ous	touit		arric	,		`			Ť	Ė		
Trustee/Ass	set Ma	anage	er/Noi	mine	e/Red	ord (Owne	er's N	ame	(If D	iffere	ent fr	om E	Bene	ficia	l Ov	ner	Liste	ed A	bove	9)				
Account#/F	und#	(Not	Nece	ssary	for I	ndivi	dual	Filers)	_	_														
	Ш										<u></u>														
Social Secu	rity N	umbe	er							Tax	paye	er Ide	ntific	catio	n Nu	ımb	er								
Talanhana]-[or /D:			dim o'	Щ		0		L]—	0.5 (\ Itar	noto	\									
Telephone I		er (Pr	imary		, lime	, 	$\overline{}$		Te	lepho	ne r	 	er (A	Aiter	nate	, 									
Email Addre	J L											J													
Address MA	ILING	INF	ORM	ATIC	N —																				
, luui ooo			Т													Т			Т						
Address																									
	Ш															\perp	\perp								
City		_	_				_	_					S	tate		Z	ip C	ode	_	_	\neg				
Foreign Prov	ince							F	reia	n Po	stal (ode.	L	_		L	Fo	reiar	ı Co	untr	v N:	ame/	/Ahh	revi	ation
l oroigii i roi		Т				Т		٦Ė	, o.g								٦٢	loigi					,, (D.	7.01.	
												6 -													

1	PART II:	SCHEDULE OF	TRANSACTIONS IN	MICRO FOCUS ADSs	
2 3		A. Purchase: August 2: to the Me	8, 2019, inclusive, or pu	ro Focus ADSs Septem urchases or acquisitions	ber 1, 2017 – pursuant or traceable
4					
5		Trade Date(s) Month Day Year (List	Number of Shares Purchased or Acquired	Total Purchase Price (Excluding commissions, taxes	Proof of Purchase/ Acquisition
		chronologically)		and fees)	Enclosed
7		1	1	1	□ Y □ N
8		2	2	2	□ Y □ N
9		3	3	3	□ Y □ N
10	TIM (I	DODTANT. If any m	·		al- Vac - Vac
11	11V1	• •		"short sale," please ma	
12		B. Sales of inclusive		eptember 1, 2017 – Nov	ember 26, 2019,
13		Trade Date	Number of Shares	Total Sales Price	Proof of Sale
14		Month Day Year	Sold	(Excluding commissions, taxes and fees)	Enclosed
15		1.	1	1	□ Y □ N
16		2.	2	2	
17					
18		3	3	3	□ Y □ N
19			of shares of Micro Fo 8, 2019:	ocus ADSs held at the	close of trading on Position Enclosed
20		□ Yes □		11001 01	1 OSITION ENCIOSED
21			of shares of Micro For 26, 2019:	ocus ADSs held at the Proof	close of trading on
22		□ Yes □	No	11001	of Tosition Enclosed
23	1			N PAGE FAILUR	
24	RELEASE YOUR CL		A DELAY IN PROC	ESSING OR THE RE	JECTION OF
25		·· - ·			
26					
27					
			- 7 -		
28		EXH	IBIT A-2 - PROOF OF CLA	AIM AND RELEASE	

IV. SUBMISSION TO JURISDICTION OF COURT AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I (We) submit this Proof of Claim under the terms of the Stipulation described in the Notice. I (We) also submit to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Mateo, with respect to my (our) claim as a Class Member and for purposes of enforcing the release set forth herein. I (We) further acknowledge that I am (we are) bound by and subject to the terms of any judgment that may be entered in the Action. I (We) agree to furnish additional information to the Claims Administrator to support this claim if requested to do so. I (We) have not submitted any other claim covering the same purchases, acquisitions or sales of Micro Focus ADSs during the relevant period and know of no other person having done so on my (our) behalf.

V. RELEASE

- 1. I (We) hereby acknowledge full and complete satisfaction of, and do hereby fully, finally, and forever settle, release, and discharge from the Released Claims each and all of the "Released Parties," defined as Defendants and each and all of their Related Parties.
- 2. "Related Parties" means each of a Settling Party's past, present or future direct or indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates or joint ventures, as well as each of their respective present or former directors, officers, employees, partners, members, principals, agents, underwriters, insurers, co-insurers, reinsurers, controlling shareholders, attorneys, accountants, auditors, financial or investment advisors or consultants, banks or investment bankers, personal or legal representatives, predecessors, successors, assigns, spouses, heirs, related or affiliated entities, any entity in which a Settling Party has a controlling interest, any member of a Settling Party's immediate family, any trust of which any Settling Party is the settlor or which is for the benefit of any Settling Party and/or member(s) of his family, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors in interest or assigns of the foregoing Persons.
- 3. "Released Claims" means any and all rights, liabilities, suits, debts, obligations, demands, damages, losses, judgment matters, issues, claims (including "Unknown Claims" as defined below), and causes of action of every nature and description whatsoever that have been or could have

12

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

whether known or unknown, whether foreign or domestic, whether arising under federal, state, common, or foreign law, by Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, any Settlement Class Member, or their Related Parties, whether individual, class, representative, on behalf of others, legal, equitable, regulatory, governmental, or of any other type or in any other capacity, whether brought directly or indirectly against any of the Defendants, that (i) arise out of, are based upon, or relate to in any way to any of the allegations, acts, transactions, facts, events, matters, occurrences, disclosures, statements, representations, or omissions which were or could have been alleged in the Action or the Federal Action, and (ii) arise out of, are based upon, or relate to in any way to the purchase, acquisition, holding, sale, or disposition of ADSs of Micro Focus between September 1, 2017 and August 28, 2019, inclusive. Notwithstanding the foregoing, "Released Claims" do not include any derivative or ERISA claims. "Released Claims" also do not include claims to enforce this Stipulation or claims by Defendants for or regarding insurance coverage.

"Unknown Claims" means any and all claims and potential claims against Defendants that Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff, or any Settlement Class Member does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor as of the Effective Date, and any claims against Plaintiffs or Federal Plaintiff that Defendants do not know or suspect to exist in their favor, which if known by him, her, or it might have affected his, her, or its decision(s) with respect to the Settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims, the Parties stipulate and agree that by operation of the Final Judgment, upon the Effective Date, the Parties shall have expressly waived, and each Settlement Class Member shall be deemed to have waived, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have expressly waived, the provisions, rights and benefits of California Code of Civil Procedure §1542, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE **DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY;**

and any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to California Code of Civil Procedure §1542. A Releasing Party may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she, or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but Plaintiffs shall expressly fully, finally, and forever settle and release, and each Settlement Class Member, upon the Effective Date, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released, any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. The Parties acknowledge, and Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have acknowledged, that the inclusion of "Unknown Claims" in the definition of Released Claims and Released Defendants' Claims was separately bargained for and was a key element of the Settlement.

- 5. I (We) hereby warrant and represent that I (we) have not assigned or transferred or purported to assign or transfer, voluntarily or involuntarily, any matter released pursuant to this release or any other part or portion thereof.
- I (We) hereby warrant and represent that I (we) have included information about all of 6. my (our) transactions in Micro Focus ADSs or ADRs which occurred during the relevant period as well as the number of shares of Micro Focus ADSs or ADRs held by me (us) at the close of trading on August 28, 2019 and November 26, 2019.

I (We) declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that all of the foregoing information supplied on this Proof of Claim by the undersigned is true and correct.

1	Executed this day of
2	(Month/Year)
3	in (City) (State/Country)
4	(City) (State/Country)
5	(G:
	(Sign your name here)
6	(Type or print your name here)
7	(Type of print your name note)
8	(Capacity of person(s) signing,
9	e.g., Beneficial Purchaser or Acquirer, Executor or Administrator)
10	ACCURATE CLAIMS PROCESSING TAKES A
11	SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.
12	Reminder Checklist:
13	1. Please sign the above release and acknowledgment.
14	 Remember to attach copies of supporting documentation.
15	3. Do not send originals of certificates or other documentation as they will not be returned.
16 17	4. Keep a copy of your Proof of Claim and all supporting documentation for your records.
18	5. If you desire an acknowledgment of receipt of your Proof of Claim, please send it Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested.
19	6. If you move, please send your new address to the address below.
20	7. Do not use red pen or highlighter on the Proof of Claim or supporting
21	documentation.
22	THIS PROOF OF CLAIM MUST BE SUBMITTED ONLINE OR MAILED NO LATER THAN , 2023, ADDRESSED AS FOLLOWS:
23	
24	Micro Focus Securities Litigation Settlement Claims Administrator
25	c/o Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions P.O. Box 5459
26	Portland, OR 97228-5459
27	www.microfocusclassaction.com
	- 11 -
28	- 11 - EXHIBIT A-2 - PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE

EXHIBIT A-3

1		
2	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN	
3	& DOWD LLP JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565)	
4	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
5	619/231-7423 (fax) jamesj@rgrdlaw.com	
6	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
7	MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009) TYSON REDENBARGER (294424)	JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605) JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933)
8	ELLE LEWIS (238329) San Francisco Airport Office Center	600 West Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101
9	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010	Telephone: 619/233-4565 619/233-0508 (fax)
10	Telephone: 650/697-6000 650/697-0577 (fax)	jjasnoch@scott-scott.com jpettigrew@scott-scott.com
11	mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com	
12	elewis@cpmlegal.com	
13	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs	
14	CLIDEDIOD COLIDE OF T	HE CTATE OF CALIFORNIA
15		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16	COUNTYO	F SAN MATEO
17	In re MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION	Lead Case No. 18CIV01549
18		<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
19	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT A-3 - SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS
20	ALL ACTIONS.	ACTION
21		Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
22		Date Action Filed: 03/28/18
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

EXHIBIT A-3 – SUMMARY NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION

tipulation.

1	Inquiries, other than requests for the Notice or for a Proof of Claim form, may be made to the
2	following representatives of Plaintiffs' Counsel:
3 4 5	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP Joseph Russello 58 South Service Road, Suite 200 Melville, NY 11747 Telephone: 631/367-7100
6	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
7	Mark C. Molumphy 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
8	Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: 650/697-6000
9	IF YOU DESIRE TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS, YOU MUST
10	SUBMIT A REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION POSTMARKED BY , 2023 , IN THE
11	MANNER AND FORM EXPLAINED IN THE NOTICE. ALL MEMBERS OF THE
12	SETTLEMENT CLASS WHO HAVE NOT REQUESTED EXCLUSION FROM THE
13	SETTLEMENT CLASS WILL BE BOUND BY THE SETTLEMENT EVEN IF THEY DO NOT
14	SUBMIT A TIMELY PROOF OF CLAIM. IF YOU PREVIOUSLY REQUESTED EXCLUSION
15	FROM THE CERTIFIED CLASS LAST YEAR, YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO SO AGAIN.
16	IF YOU ARE A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO OBJECT
17	TO THE SETTLEMENT, THE PLAN OF ALLOCATION, THE REQUEST BY PLAINTIFFS'
18	COUNSEL FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES, AND/OR THE
19	REQUEST FOR AN AWARD TO PLAINTIFFS AND/OR THE FEDERAL PLAINTIFF FOR
20	THEIR EFFORTS IN REPRESENTING THE SETTLEMENT CLASS. ANY OBJECTIONS
21	MUST BE FILED WITH THE COURT AND SENT TO PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL BY
22	, 2023, IN THE MANNER AND FORM EXPLAINED IN THE NOTICE.
23	DATED: HONORABLE MARIE S. WEINER
24	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE FOR THE
25	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
26	
27	
28	
	1

EXHIBIT B

1	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP	
2	JAMES I. JACONETTE (179565) 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900	
3	San Diego, CA 92101-8498 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
4	619/231-7423 (fax) jamesj@rgrdlaw.com	
5	COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP	SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
6 7	MARK C. MOLUMPHY (168009) TYSON REDENBARGER (294424) ELLE LEWIS (238329)	JOHN T. JASNOCH (281605) JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW (236933) 600 West Broadway, Suite 3300
8	San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/233-4565
9	Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: 650/697-6000	619/233-0508 (fax) jjasnoch@scott-scott.com
10	650/697-0577 (fax) mmolumphy@cpmlegal.com	jpettigrew@scott-scott.com
11	tredenbarger@cpmlegal.com elewis@cpmlegal.com	
12	Class Counsel for Plaintiffs	
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	COUNTY C	OF SAN MATEO
16	In re MICRO FOCUS INTERNATIONAL PLC SECURITIES LITIGATION) Lead Case No. 18CIV01549
17) <u>CLASS ACTION</u>)
18	This Document Relates To:	EXHIBIT B - [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
19		AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL
• •	ALL ACTIONS.	AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
20	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Assigned for All Purposes to:
21	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT)
21 22	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
21 22 23	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
21 22	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
21222324	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
2122232425	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2
212223242526	ALL ACTIONS.	APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Marie S. Weiner, Dept. 2

- D. The form, content, and method of dissemination of notice given to the Settlement Class was adequate and reasonable and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort.
- E. Notice, as given to the Settlement Class, complied with the requirements of California law, satisfied the requirements of due process, and constituted due and sufficient notice of the matters set forth herein.
- F. The Settlement set forth in the Stipulation, which calls for a cash payment in the amount of \$107.5 million, is fair, reasonable, and adequate.
- (i) The Settlement was negotiated at arm's length by the Parties, all of whom were represented by highly experienced and skilled counsel. The Settlement was reached only after, among other things: (a) extensive proceedings, including motion practice, in this Action and in the Federal Action, as well as related proceedings on appeal; (b) the completion of a substantial amount of fact discovery in this Action, including 21 depositions of fact witnesses and the production of millions of pages of documents by or on behalf of Defendants and third parties; (c) two mediations conducted by an experienced mediator who was thoroughly familiar with this Action; (d) prior to the mediations, the exchange between the Plaintiffs and Defendants of detailed mediation statements, together with accompanying documentary exhibits, which highlighted the factual and legal issues in dispute; (e) follow-up negotiations between Plaintiffs and Defendants with the assistance of the mediator and the involvement, on certain occasions, of the Federal Plaintiff; and (f) Plaintiffs' Counsel's extensive investigations. Accordingly, the Parties were well-positioned to evaluate the settlement value of this Action. The Stipulation has been entered into in good faith and is not collusive.
- (ii) If the Settlement had not been achieved, the Parties faced the expense, risk, and uncertainty of extended litigation. The Court takes no position on the merits of the Parties' arguments, but notes these arguments as evidence in support of the reasonableness of the Settlement.
- G. Plaintiffs and their counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interests of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement.

3

5 6

8

9

10

11

- 13
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28

H. Plaintiffs, all Settlement Class Members, and Defendants are hereby bound by the terms of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- The Settlement, on the terms set forth in the Stipulation, is finally approved as fair, 1. reasonable, and adequate, and, based on the findings set forth above, the Settlement Class defined in the Stipulation is certified. The Settlement shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Stipulation. The Parties shall bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- 2. All Released Parties as defined in the Stipulation are fully and finally released in accordance with, and as defined in, the Stipulation.
- 3. Upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs and each Settlement Class Member, including the Federal Plaintiff, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release.
- Upon the Effective Date, each of the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' Counsel, and each and all of the Settlement Class Members, including the Federal Plaintiff, from all Released Defendants' Claims.
- 5. All Settlement Class Members who have not timely made their objections to the Settlement in the manner provided in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Notice") are deemed to have waived any objections by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.
- All Settlement Class Members who have failed to properly and timely submit valid requests for exclusion (requests to opt out) from the Settlement Class are bound by the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and this Final Judgment.
- 7. The requests for exclusion by the persons or entities identified in Exhibit A to this Final Judgment are accepted by the Court.

- 8. All other provisions of the Stipulation are incorporated into this Final Judgment as if fully rewritten herein.
- 9. Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class Members, including the Federal Plaintiff, are hereby permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, maintaining, or prosecuting in any court or tribunal any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 10. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement:
- (a) shall be offered or received against any Defendant as evidence of, or construed as or deemed to be evidence of, any presumption, concession or admission by any Defendant of the truth of any of the allegations in the Action or the Federal Action, or the validity of any claim that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or the Federal Action, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or the Federal Action, including, but not limited to, litigation of the Released Claims, or of any liability, negligence, fault or wrongdoing of any kind of any Defendant;
- (b) shall be offered or received against any Defendant as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any Defendant, in any other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, in any jurisdiction, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; provided, however, that Defendants may refer to the Stipulation to effectuate the liability protection granted them hereunder;
- (c) shall be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, finding or presumption against Defendants that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial or in any proceeding other than this Settlement, or that any of the claims of Plaintiffs, Federal Plaintiff or Settlement Class Members have merit;
- (d) shall be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, finding or presumption against Plaintiffs, the Federal Plaintiff, or any Settlement Class Member that any of their claims are without merit, or that any defenses asserted by Defendants have merit, or that

EXHIBIT B - [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION

1	awarded is fair, reasonable, and appropriate, given the contingent nature of the case and the substantial
2	risks of non-recovery, the time and effort involved, and the result obtained for the Class.
3	15. The awarded attorneys' fees and expenses and interest earned thereon shall
4	immediately be paid to Lead Counsel from the Settlement Fund subject to the terms, conditions, and
5	obligations of the Stipulation, which terms, conditions, and obligations are incorporated herein.
6	16. Plaintiffs and the Federal Plaintiff are awarded the following amounts: James
7	Ragsdale, \$; Cardella Family Irrevoc Trust U/A 06/17/15, \$; Ian Green
8	\$; James Gildea, \$; Marilyn Clark, \$; Iron Workers, \$ Such
9	payments are appropriate considering their active participation in representing the interests of the
10	Settlement Class, as attested to by the declarations submitted to the Court. The payments are to be
11	made from the Settlement Fund.
12	17. In the event that the Stipulation is terminated in accordance with its terms: (i) this Final
13	Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated nunc pro tunc; and (ii) this Action shall
14	proceed as provided in the Stipulation.
15	18. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment in any way, this Court retains
16	continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of
17	the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c)
18	hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, and expenses in the Action; and (d)
19	all Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administrating the Stipulation.
20	DATED:
21	THE HONORABLE MARIE S. WEINER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
22 23	JODGE OF THE SOFERIOR COOK!
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
28	
20	